



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_40194
Title of the Manuscript:	C-reactive protein, trace element and lipid profile in cardiovascular disease.
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title Title is not informative enough Abstract -Lines 8-9, the aim of the study is unclear -Methodology; study design, should be included -Generally spelling and grammar correction required Introduction The authors should include; gap in knowledge, justification for the study (and methodology) and aim of the study in the introduction -Lines 30-31, the definition of hypertension is incorrect, authors should use the most recent WHO definition -Statements in lines 33-34 and 36 should be re-phrased -The statement in lines 38-39 is unclear. -The statement in lines 40-43, should be re-written -Line 53, the statement is untrue, 'trace elements' do not cause or aid the development of CVD, authors should please re-phrase the statement -Line 55, the objective of the study should be clearly stated -Line 60, the term 'premature coronary heart disease' is unclear; authors should define or re-phrase it. Materials and Methods -The study design should be stated -Sample size determination and sampling technique should be stated -Inclusion and exclusion criteria should be stated -The authors should state the person that designed the questionnaire and how it was administered. -AI and CRI should be clearly defined in the methodology. The determination/calculation of these indices should be clearly stated. -Line 107, how was CRP levels determined? Discussion -The statement in lines 163-165 is unclear, the authors should re-phrase it. -Line 167 'NO' should be introduced first as full meaning Conclusion -Line 192 should be re-phrased -The inferences made in lines 193-197, does not relate to findings of this study, because the design of this study makes it impossible to draw such a conclusion. References The authors should use more recent references, there are too many old references > 10 years.	Title: C-reactive protein, trace element and lipid profile in cardiovascular disease Abstract The aim had been re constructed and study design had been included The new definition of hypertension had been incorporated. Introduction All the identified errors had been corrected Materials and methods The study design had been mentioned and, AI and CRI defined and other errors corrected Discussion and conclusion Corrections has been made Some f the references had been reviewed
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		