



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_39759
Title of the Manuscript:	AMELOGENESIS IMPERFECTA – 3 cases
Type of the Article	Case series

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	This is a general discussion on AI with 3 cases illustrated at baseline. It does not bring any clear advancement in our field Photos are not of good quality	Yes sir. This is just a case series.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Case reports presented by means of photos do not allow confirming the diagnosis proposed by the authors. The first case report should be with teeth cleaned. Better quality photos are needed, as resolution as well as technical setup.	Yes sir. Shall do it. Thank you for the comments

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	