



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_39209
Title of the Manuscript:	RENAL FUNCTION AND ELECTROLYTE MONITORING IN CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE PATIENTS RECEIVING RENIN-ANGIOTENSIN-ALDOSTERONE-SYSTEM (RAAS) INHIBITORS AND/OR DIURETICS.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Number 234-252: This section contains facts which do not qualify to discuss the results. Such facts should be moved to Introduction section 2. Findings in this study reports very poor level of monitoring compared to findings elsewhere. Authors should spend more time to explain possible reasons for this?. Could it problem of health system factors, shortage of health workers etc?	Thank you for your comments. We shall effect the corrections as advised.
Minor REVISION comments	1. Number 2: Indicate state and country in the title to show where the study was performed 2. Number 107-109: Not sure if Yamane formula was copied correctly, what is the significance of N/1?, demonstrate by computing variables by showing what was N for each hospital 3. Number 114: How did you select 300 patients for each hospital out of N patients?, was there any sort of randomization? 4. Number 127: Table 1- Variables in the table need alignment 5. For conclusion section: Rather than educating prescribers; the better statement should be to regularly remind prescribers importance of doing baseline and follow up renal electrolyte profile and adjust medication dosages accordingly 6. For conclusion section: Authors have not shown any evidence that monitoring of renal and electrolyte profile is not in the curriculum of medical and pharmacy school; hence such a recommendation may be misplaced here	Thank you for drawing our attention to these important areas. We shall make the corrections as advised. We have presented the Yamane formula correctly in the reviewed manuscript. The case notes (300 in each centre) were randomly selected (simple random sampling) from all the case notes of patients seen within the study period.
Optional/General comments		