



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_39205
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, attitude and compliance with safety protective measures and devices among commercial motorcyclists in Sokoto metropolis, Northwestern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The some bibliographic references are very old, in general, have more than ten year ago. To improved the paper, I suggest chose more references from 2012/2013 to onward. Besides that, I recommend compared the results with other studies carried on worldwide, with aim the observed if the behaviour this area is a common in other areas. In statistical procedures, I recommend use the χ^2 test, to verified if there are association between the variables of the study. This can be improved the results, and open de discussion about the variables studied and the knowledge about safety at work of respondents. Still i recommend use of graphics to help on the understanding of the results.	Good Day Sir, Concerning recent article I have search but these are the little current I can found and few studies were carried out related to this in the other part of the world because they don't use motorcycle as the means of their commercial transportation For use of chi square to test association I did it but no any significance association found see table attached in the manuscript. I also use graphics for the results as you suggested. Thank you sir.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		