



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_31413
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of malaria treatment seeking behaviour among urban and rural households in north central Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	Kindly find below my comments on Ms JAMPS_31413: Before making any extensive suggestions/observations, I invite the authors to: 1) Read carefully and follow Instructions to Authors as provided by the Journal. As is, this Ms does not conform to the Journal stipulations. 2) Then, rewrite the Ms. Usually the Methodology is described in past tense, not in present tense as in this case. 3) Results should be described in a concise manner. 4) The Discussion should not repeat the Results, but provide detailed interpretation of data. 5) Reference citing has to be reviewed carefully, paying attention to proper Journal citing, proper punctuation, proper use of capital letters where required. 6) Each Table and Graph needs a clear description. 7) Table headings should be placed above the Table. 8) Each Figure should have a caption. Figure legends should be given below the Figure. 9) Informed consent by patients is missing. 10) Approval of the study by an ethics committee	The appropriate corrections have been effected as suggested. Informed consent statement has been included as well as ethical approval of the study. The reporting of the result is now concise. The other comments on discussion, referencing and other observations have been corrected.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	is lacking. 11) Careful revision of English language.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		