1	Antibacterial Activity and Phytochemical Screening of <i>Mangifera indica</i>
2	(Mango) Stem and Leaf Extracts on Clinical Isolates of Methicillin Resistant
3	Staphylococcus aureus'

#### 4 ABSTRACT

Background: Medicinal plants have been sources of a number of important compounds which have
been discovered during last century. In the light of their established therapeutic efficacy, the
pharmaceutical industries are using crude extracts of medicinal plants for manufacturing drugs.

Aim: The study was conducted to investigate the antimicrobial activities of *Mangifera indica* (leaves
and stem) and its major antimicrobial constituents (phytochemicals).

Methodology: The aqueous and chloroform extracts from the leaves and stem of the plant was tested using well diffusion method for their antimicrobial activity against Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) isolated from wound, skin and urine samples of patients attending Muhammad Abdullah Wase specialist Hospital, Kano.

**Results:** The result shows that some extracts were active against the microorganisms tasted. Statistical analysis of the result shows that the extracts demonstrated antibacterial activity against the isolates tested with the highest zone of inhibition of 16mm, 14mm, 17mm, 15mm and 15.00mm for the five isolates used respectively. The chloroform extracts of the plant showed higher zones of inhibition compared to aqueous extracts. Preliminary phytochemical analyses of the plant showed that both stem and leaf extracts contain alkaloids, tannins, terpenoid, Anthraquinones, reducing sugar, amino acid, flavonoids, steroid, saponins, cardiac glycosides, resin and phenols.

Conclusion: Mangifera indica can be used as antibacterial agent against Methicillin resistant
 Staphylococcus aureus.

23 Keywords: Mangifera indica; phytochemicals; antibacterial activity; methicillin resistant
 24 Staphylococcus aureus; well diffusion.

## 25 1. INTRODUCTION

Plant derived products like gums, oils and extracts have been used for therapeutic purpose before the introduction of modern drugs [1] and continues to provide health coverage for over 80% of the world's population [2]. Serious attention is being given to medicinal plants as evidenced by the 29 recommendation given by the World Health Organization (WHO) in 1970. It gave emphasis on the 30 need to include traditional remedies within national drug policies as these plants serve as the best 31 sources of a variety of drugs? It is important to study plants so that a better understanding of their 32 properties, safety and efficacy is derived for improved benefit. The presence of phytochemical 33 constituents in medicinal plants made them useful for healing as well as for curing of human diseases 34 [3]. Phytochemicals are naturally occurring compounds in the medicinal plants, [4]. Large populations 35 of the world, especially in developing countries depend on the traditional system of medicine to treat 36 variety of diseases [5]. Several hundred genera of plants were utilized traditionally for medicinal 37 purposes. The world health organization [6] reported that 80% of the world population relies chiefly on 38 traditional medicine and a major part of the traditional therapies which involve the use of plant extract 39 and their constituents [7]. Mango (Mangifera indica), which belongs to the family Anacardiaceae, is 40 commonly called Mango (English), Mongoro (Yoruba, Nigeria), Mangolo (Igbo, Nigeria) and Mangoro 41 (Hausa, Nigeria) [8]. It grown naturally or cultivated mainly in tropical and subtropical regions and is 42 one of the most popular edible fruits in the world. In India and Nigeria, the infusion of the leaves singly 43 or combined with leaves of Citrus sinensis is used in treating diarrhea, dysentery, gastrointestinal tract 44 disorders, typhoid fever, sore throat and scurvy[9].

In the present study, the extracts (Aqueous and chloroform) from leaves and stem of *M. indica* were screened for Antibacterial activity against Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. The phytochemical constituents of the extracts were also determined.

#### 48 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 49 2.1 Plant materials

The plant materials used in this study consisted of the leaves, stem bark and root of *Mangifera indica* plant which was collected from Bayero University, Kano old campus. Botanical Identification and Authentification of the plant materials was done at Herbarium unit by a staff of the department of plant Biology, Bayero University, Kano with the following Voucher specimen number: BUKHAN 0348. Voucher specimens were deposited there for future reference. The samples were washed with water and removed dust and rinsed with distilled water. Sample was air dried for two-weeks and pulverized into powder form using sterile mortar and pestle in the laboratory as described by Mukhtar and Tukur 57 [10]. The powder sample was bagged in a black polythene bag and stored in air tight container for58 further work.

#### 59 2.2 Test organisms

60 Clinical isolates of Staphylococcus aureus were obtained from the laboratory of Muhammad Abdullah 61 Wase Specialist hospital Kano for further experiment. Identification and characterization of the 62 isolates was conducted there by using three procedures namely Gram staining, cultural 63 characterization using selective or indicative media and biochemical characterization. Methicillin 64 resistant S. aureus were determined by using Oxacillin 10µg sensitivity disc. The pure isolates of each 65 of the test organism were inoculated in sterile slants containing Nutrient agar and transported to the 66 department of Microbiology Kano University of Science and Technology, Wudil and refrigerated at 67 4 ℃ before use.

### 68 2.3 Preparation of extracts

The bioactive components were extracted using the methods of Akerele *et al.* [11] with slight modification. Two hundred and fifty milliliters (250 ml) each of chloroform and water were added unto 25g portions of leaves and stem bark powder in separate sterile conical flasks and allowed to soak at ambient temperature for 7 days. The extracts were then filtered using Whatman no. 1 filter paper and the filtrates concentrated at 70°C using a rotary evaporator [11]. The solid residues obtained were reconstituted in DMSO at stock concentration, stored in the refrigerator at  $4^{\circ}C$  until used.

### 75 2.4 Determination of phytochemical constituents

The freshly prepared extracts were subjected to standard phytochemical analyses for different constituents such as tannins, alkaloids, flavonoids, anthraquinones, glycosides, saponins and phenols as described by Jigna *et al.* [12].

## 79 2.5 Assay for antibacterial activity

The antibacterial screening was carried out using the agar diffusion method as described by Lino and Deogracious [13]. The test bacteria isolates were first inoculated into tubes of nutrient broth separately and incubated at  $37^{\circ}C$  for 24 h. Each of the cultures was then adjusted to 0.5 McFarland turbidity standards and inoculated (0.1 ml each) onto Mueller Hinton agar (MHA, Oxoid) plates. A sterile coke borer was then used to make five wells (6 mm diameter each) for different concentrations of the extract on each of the plates containing cultures of the different test isolate. The different concentrations of 0.1 ml of 30, 60, 90 and 120mg/ml of the extract were then introduced into four wells using sterile Pasteur pipettes. The fifth wells contain the standard antibiotics Gentamicin (62.5 mg/ml) which was used as positive control. The culture plates were allowed to stand on the working bench for 30 min for pre diffusion and were then incubated at 37°C for 24 h. After 24 h, antibacterial activity was determined by measurement of diameter zones of inhibition (mm) (against the test isolate) around each of the extracts and the antibiotic [13].

- 92 2.6 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
- 94 The data was analyzed using One-Way Anova and the statistical program SPSS 21.0(Statistical
- 95 Package for the Social Sciences). The results were presented as the means ± standard deviation.
- 96 Significance level for the differences was set at p<0.05.
- 97 98

93

## 99 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- 100 Results of preliminary phytochemical screening of the leaf and stem of Mangifera indica are presented
- 101 in Table 1. Results showed the presence of alkaloids, anthraquinones, xanthoprotein, flavonoids,
- resins, saponin, amino acid, tannin and cardiac glycoside while steroid were absent in the leaf. On the
- 103 other hand, anthraquinones was absent in the stem extract.
- 104 Table 1: phytochemical analysis of leaf and stem extract of Mangifera indica

Phytochemical	Leaves	Stem bark
Reducing sugar	+	+
Tannins	• <mark>+</mark>	+
Anthraquinones	+	•
Steroids	E Contraction	+
Terpenoids	+	+
Saponins	+	+
Flavonoids	+	+
Alkaloids	<mark>+</mark>	+
Phenol	<mark>+</mark>	+
Xanthoprotein	<mark>+</mark>	+
Cardiac glycoside	<mark>.</mark>	

# 105 Key: (+) Present, (-) Absent

106 Table 2 shows the results of antibacterial effects of extracts of the plant leaf and stem against the test

107 isolates. Results showed that the activity of the extracts against the test bacteria increased with

108 increase in the concentration with the chloroform stem extracts demonstrating higher activity (17 mm,

- 109 120 mg/ml,) than water extracts (15 mm, 50 mg/ml).
- 110 Table 2: Antibacterial activity of the extracts

1 ISOLATES C	CONCENTRATION (mg/ml)/ ZONE OF INHIBITION (mm)					
	120	90	60	30	CONTROL EXTRA	стѕ
Isolate 1	14	13	07	06	19 LAE	
	11	09	08	06	LCE	
	16	12	10	06	SAE	
	15	13	09	06	SCE	
Isolate 2	06	06	06	06	06 LAE	
	13	10	09	09	LCE	
	06	06	06	06	SAE	
	14	12	10	06	SCE	
Isolate 3	10	08	07	06	17 LAE	
	13	12	09	06	LCE	
	17	13	10	06	SAE	
	14	13	10	08	SCE	
Isolate 4	13	13	08	06	15 LAE	
	15	14	12	10	LCE	
	12	12	11	06	SAE	
	13	13	11	08	SCE	
Isolate 5	12	10	10	06	17 LAE	
	12	09	09	08	LCE	
	13	12	08	06	SAE	
	15	12	11	09	SCE	

112

114

LAE= Leaf aqueous extract, LCE=Leaf chloroform extract, SAE= Stem aqueous extract,

115

## SCE=Stem chloroform extract

116 In the present research, M. indica leaf, and stem were screened for phytochemical analysis and 117 antibacterial activities of the extracts against methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus. On 118 phytochemical screening, the leaf extract posses the following phytochemicals reducing sugar, tannin, 119 anthraguinones, terpenoid, saponin, flavonoids, phenol, xanthoprotein, however, steroid is absent. 120 The stem bark extract possessed the following phytochemicals; terpenoid, saponin, flavonoids, 121 alkaloid, phenol, xanthoprotein and cardiac glycoside while anthraquinones is absent. The result of 122 phytochemical screening from this study shows similarities to several studies conducted by many 123 researchers in an attempt to determine phytochemical constituents of different part of *M. indica*. The 124 result was inconformity with that of by Doughari, and Manzara, [14] on In vitro antibacterial activity of 125 crude leaf extracts of M. indica, the preliminary phytochemical analysis revealed the presence of 126 tannins, glycosides, saponins and phenols. Another experiment conducted to determine the 127 phytochemical constituents in Mangifera indica by Sanwaral and Susish [15] showed the presence of 128 alkaloid, flavonoids, tannins, saponins, glycosides and anthraquinones. The above finding supported 129 the result of the present study. This result is also supported by a study conducted by Aiyelaagbe and 130 Osamudiamen [16].

131 The result of this study showed that the M. indica extract (chloroform and aqueous) posses 132 antibacterial activity against MRSA. The results of antibacterial activity of the extracts of M. indica 133 from this study were in conformity with several studies conducted by many researchers on 134 antibacterial activity of *M. indica*. Experiment conducted by Chidozie et al. [17] on antibacterial activity 135 of crude extract of *M. indica* shows that it is highly effective against some pathogenic bacteria namely 136 Salmonella typhi, Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Proteus vulgaris, Shigella spp, on the 137 other hand, the extract found to be non effective against Streptococcus faecalis. The aqueous and 138 ethanol extract of leaves and stems of mango at 50 and 25 mg/ml has been found sufficient activity 139 against bacteria; Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pyogenes, Streptococcus pneumoniae, 140 Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Enterococcus faecalis [18]. The above work supported this research that 141 M. indica extract possessed antibacterial agent. This work is also inconformity with that of Vega-vega 142 et al. [19] who found the antibacterial ability of extract against Salmonella enterica, Listeria 143 monocytogenes and Escherichia coli. Sahrawat et al. [20] also determines antibacterial activities of *M*.
144 indica leaf on methanol, ethanol and benzene extract were studied against bacteria some as *Proteus*145 vulgaris, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Shigella flexneri*, *Klebsiella pneumonia* and *Salmonella typhi*146 at100µl/ml concentration. Antibacterial activity of mango extracts upon gram-positive, gram-negative
147 bacteria was also demonstrated [21] and it is thought that the antibacterial activity of mango extract is
148 due to the presence of tannin and mangiferin.

149 In the study conducted by Majourie [22], the result shows that different extracts of M. indica had 150 different compounds with antibacterial activity. This suggests that the antibacterial activity could be 151 due to different classes of compounds. Some of the classes of compounds identified in the crude 152 extract, such as alkaloids and terpenoid, have been reported to possess antibacterial activity [22]. The 153 study conducted by Doughari and Manzara, [14] reveals that the active components of leaves of M. 154 indica L. which were extracted using cold water and organic solvents (acetone and methanol) and 155 were tested against Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pyogenese, Streptococcus pneumoniae, 156 Bacillus cereus, Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aerugenosa, Proteus mirabilis, Salmonella typhi and 157 Shigella flexnerri using the agar well (cup plate) diffusion method. Both the acetone and methanol 158 extracts inhibited the growth of gram positive bacteria, with acetone extract exerting more activities on 159 all the gram positive bacteria with zone of inhibition between 15 - 16 mm, and a gram negative 160 bacterium S. typhi (14 mm) at 250 mg/ml. Whereas, water extract was not active on any of the 161 bacterial pathogens tested at any of the concentration of the extract used. This also supported the 162 present study.

# 163 4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Results of the study showed that leaf and stem extracts of *Mangifera indica* possessed phytochemical substances that can be used as components of new antimicrobial agents. However, the chloroform extract demonstrated higher antibacterial property against the isolates compared to water. The finding of this study also shows that the stem back extract possessed higher antibacterial agents compared to leaf extract. It is recommended that there is need for further investigations in terms of toxicological studies and purification of active components with the view to using the plant in novel drug development. The study has also justified the traditional usage of this plant as health remedy.

171 ETHICAL APPROVAL

- 172 Ethical approval was obtained from Kano State Hospital Management Board based on the consent of
- 173 Muhammad Abdullahi Wase Specialist Hospital ethical committee.

#### 174 **REFERENCES**

- 175 [1] Lima ME, Cordeiro M, Claudia MY, Marcos EG, Sobra M and Moreno PR. Antimicrobial activity of
- the essential oil from the specimens of Pimenta pseudocaryophyllus (Gomes) L. R.Landrum
- 177 (Myrtaceae) native from Sao Paulo State. Brazil Pharmacol. 2006; 3: 589-593.
- 178 [2] Kafaru E. Immense help formative workshop. In: Khan R, Islam B, Akram M, Shakil S, Ahmad A,
- 179 Ali MS, Sadiqui M, Khan AU. (2008). Antimicrobial Activity of Five Herbal Extracts against Multi Drug
- 180 Resistant (MDR) strains of Bacteria and Fungus of Clinical Origin. *Molecules* 1994; 13
- 181 [3] Nostro A, Germano MP, D'angelo V, Mariano A and Lanattel MA. Extraction method and
- bioautography for evaluation of medicinal plant antimicrobial activity. Letter in Appl. Microbiol. 2000;
- 183 30: 379
- 184 [4] Abdul Wadood, Ghufran M, Babar Jamal SB, Naeem M, Khan A, Ghaffar R. et al. Phytochemical
- 185 Analysis of Medicinal Plants Occurring in Local Area of Mardan. Biochemistry & Analy. Biochem.
- 186 2003; 2: 144. DOI 10.4172/2161-1009.1000144
- 187 [5] McGaw LJ, Jager AK and Staden JV. Antibacterial, anti-helminthes and anti-amoebic activity in
- 188 South Africa medicinal plants. J. Ethno. 2000; 72: 247 263
- 189 [6] World Health Organization (WHO).2004 Use of antimicrobials outside human medicine and result
- and antimicrobial resistance in humans. World Health Organization 2002. Archieved from the Originalon 13 May, 2004
- 192 [7] Ahmed I and Beg AZ. Antimicrobial and phytochemical studies on 45 Indian Medicinal plants
- against multi-drug resistance human pathogens. J Ethnopharm. 2003; 74: 113-123.
- 194 [8] Emeruwa AC. The conservation of medicinal plants. J. Nat. Prodts. 1991; 45 (2): 123-127.
- 195 Haslam E. Plant Polyphenols- Vegetable Tannins Revisited. Cambridge University Press;
- 196 Cambridge, U.K. 1989
- 197 [9] Lakshminarayana G, Chandrasekhara Rao T, Ramalinga swamy PA. Varietal variations in
- 198 contents, characteristic and composition of mango seeds and fat, *J. of the American oil chem. society*
- 199 1983; 60: 88-89
- [10] Mukhtar MD and Tukur A. In-vitro screening activity of *Pistia stratiutes* extract. *NISED Journal*1999; 1 (1): 5 6

- [11] Akerele JO, Obasuyi O, Ebomoyi MI, Oboh IE, Uwumarongie OH. Antimicrobial activity of
  ethanol extract and fractions of the seeds of *Garcinia kola* Heckel (Guttiferae). *Africa J. Biotechnol.*2008; 7(2): 169-172.
- 205 [12] Jigna P, Sumitra C. In-vitro antimicrobial activities of extracts of Launaea procumbns Roxb.
- (Labiateae), *Vitis vinifera* L. (Vitaceae) and *Cyperus rotundus* L. (Cyperaceae). *Afri. Jornal Biomed.*Res. 2006. 9(2): 89-93.
- 208 [13] Lino A and Deogracios O. The in-vitro antibacterial activity of Annona senegalensis, Securidacca
- 209 Iongipendiculata and Steanotaenia araliacea- Ugandan Medicinl plants. Afri. Health Sci.2006; 6(1):
- 210 31-35.
- [14] Doughari JH and Manzara S. In vitro antibacterial activity of crude leaf extracts of Mangifera
- 212 *indica* Linn. *A. J. of Microbiol. Research.* 2008; 2:067-072.
- 213 [15] Sanwaral A and Sushil K. Antibacterial activity of Mangifera indica leaves against drug resistant
- 214 bacterial strain. Intern. journal of advance research. 2013; I (6): 82-86
- 215 [16] Aiyelaagbe OO and Osamudiamen PM. Phytochemical Screening for Active Compouds in
- 216 Mangifera indica leaves from Ibadan, Oyo State. Plant Sciences Research, Ibadan. 2009; 2(1): 11-13
- 217 [17] Chidozie VN, Adoga GI, Chukwu OC, Chukwu ID and Adekeye AM. Antibacterial And
- 218 Toxicological Effects of the Aqueous Extract Of *Mangifera Indica* Stem Bark On Albino Rats. 2014.
- 219 [18] Shabani Z, Sayadi A. The Antimicrobial in Vitro Effects of Different Concentrations of Some Plant
- Extracts Including Tamarisk, March, Acetone and Mango. *Journal of Appl. Pharm. Science*. 2014; (5):
  75-79.
- 222 [19] Vega-Vega V, Silva-Espinoza BA, Cruz-Valenzuela MR, Bernal-Mercado AT, Gonzalez Aguilar
- 223 GA, Ruiz-Cruz S et al. Antimicrobial and antioxidant properties of by product extracts of mango fruit.
- Journal of Applied Botany and Food Quality; 2013; 86: 205-211
- [20] Sahrawat A, Pal S, Shahi SK. Antibacterial activity of *Mangifera indica* (mango) leaves against
- drug resistant bacterial strains. International Journal of Advanced Research 2013; 1 (6):82-86.
- 227 [21] Savikin K, Menkovic N, Zdunic G, Stevic T, Radanovic D and Jankovic T. Antimicrobial activity of
- 228 Gentiana lutea L. extracts. Naturforsch 2009; 64:339-342.
- [22] Marjorie MC. Plants products as antimicrobial agents. Clin Microbiology. Rev 1999; 12 (4): 564-
- 230 582