



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_34876
Title of the Manuscript:	End-of-Life Care in Nigeria: Preparing Nursing Students and Workforce to take the Lead
Type of the Article	Literature Review?????????

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Authors need to review and adjust the wording regarding the use of the Vancouver standard. For example: In line 184 the authors describe: "[27] Supports this assertion and noted that as palliative ..." Writing in Vancouver should always be indirect. I recommend: As palliative care becomes more normative and contained in the curricula of health schools, included in textbooks and licensing exams, and integrated into clinical practice guidelines, improvements will be made in nurses' skills in end-of-life care^[27] 2. I missed the article structure. Objective, Method, Conclusion. 3. The rules of Vancouver guide to not name the authors during the writing of the text. Many articles cite the authors at the end of the sentences: De Vlieger et al., 2004; Cummings, 2008; Wallace et al., 2009; Paice et al., 2006; etc. 4. Moreover, these articles are not cited in the references. 5. Once included, the order of numbering of quotes should be adequate.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Review reference citation 13: 2009, 8 (5): 21-26 Observe the standardization of citations in Vancouver format. Describe methodological process of selection of relevant studies.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The study theme is relevant. The research is justified by the need for the development of palliative nursing I believe that with the adjustments the manuscript will meet the criteria for publication. There are ethical issues related to the manuscript!	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Michel Marcos Dalmedico</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Nursing, Positivo University, Brazil</i>