



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_34680
Title of the Manuscript:	The Use of Synthes Modular Distal Aiming Device (MODAD) System for Locked Intra-Medullary nailing for fixation of fractures in Nigeria. A Prospective Observational Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	If authors can, please compare the clinical results between the surgery with and without MODAD case.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Conclusion part is too long. I think only "The use of MODAD in the treatment of fractures of the shaft of long bone is a good method especially in a developing country like Nigeria where the resources required for optimal fracture care like closed IM nailing such as intra operative imaging and experienced human resources may not be readily available." is enough.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hiroaki Kijima
Department, University & Country	Department of Orthopedic surgery, Graduate school of Medicine, Akita university, Japan