SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMPS_34369**
Title of the Manuscript:	**RAT SERUM ELECTROLYTE AND LIPID PROFILE FOLLOWING ADMINISTRATION OF AQUEOUS EXTRACT OF SPHENOSTYLIS STENOCARPA**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Ethical issue: **1.**Animal Ethical committee decision is required for the study. Ethics committee decision should be added. **2.**If Potassium concentration in standards the range is 2 - 7 mEq / L,how the results can be 0.45 ... 0.52 mEq / L May be 4.5 ... 5.2 mEq / L, instead of 0.45 ... 0.52 mEq / L **3** Sphenostylis stenocarpa should be written instead of Sphenostylisstenocarpa in manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Hatice Paşaoğlu***
Department, University & Country	***Department of Medical Biochemistry, Gazi University, Turkey***