



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_33924
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality Control Tests for Ophthalmic Pharmaceuticals: Pharmacopoeial Standards and Specifications
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The article is simply a copy of the tests described in the pharmacopoeias, and has no scientific contribution to the subject;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - As can be seen, from line 93 to 632, only references 31, 32, 33 and 34 are cited, without at least commenting on the tests or researches of the authors in the area, or if there are differences between the tests mentioned in the pharmacopoeias; - The United States Pharmacopeia in force is USP 40 NF 34, 2017, not the one mentioned as reference (USP 33 NF 28, 2010); - The Japanese Pharmacopoeia is in the 17th edition; - The British Pharmacopoeia with the 2017 edition; - The Indian Pharmacopoeia with the 2014 edition; 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ieda Maria Sapateiro Torres</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Federal University of Goias, Brazil</i>