



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_33728
Title of the Manuscript:	Do Iron Chelators Affect Fertility in Thalassemic Men?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is a very good paper but the analysis has been oversimplified. We need to know since how long they were on transfusion and how long they were being given a particular chelator and if this chelator use was dose dependent etc. To point a particular chelator as responsible we need to be equally responsible and data should speak for that not our comments. Also how can we say that the chelator is the culprit excluding any other confounder in the study need to be explained.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	no	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	no	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Omesh Kumar Bharti</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Epidemiologist, Government of Himachal Pradesh State Institute of Health and Family Welfare Parimahal, India</i>