



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_33265
Title of the Manuscript:	The Relation of Hipospadia Types With Hypospadias Objective Penile Evaluation Scorein Padang
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstrat 1-In introduction, where is the purpose of the study? 2-pag1/line 13; What is mean HOPE? 3-Pag 2/line 53: HOPE score must be in the methods, including the total score. 4-Pag 3: Below the table 2 write the statistical test and the level of significance. 5-What is mean, Keteragan (table2)? 6-Pag 4: Removing table 3 it is not necessary. 7-Pag 6/reference 5: The year of publication is missing.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please format the text correctly	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Margarida Ferreira
Department, University & Country	Department of Physiotherapy, Faculty of Sport, University of Porto, Portugal