



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_33265
Title of the Manuscript:	The Relation of Hipospadia Types With Hypospadias Objective Penile Evaluation Scorein Padang
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The HOPE score must be defined in the method section. 2. Was the operation done by a single surgeon? It should be noted. 3. Repair method should be specified. 4. Which statistical method is applied? 5. The debate is very insufficient. Hence, It does not contribute to the literature	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hayrettin Ozturk
Department, University & Country	Abant Izzet Baysal University, Turkey