



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_33265
Title of the Manuscript:	The Relation of Hipospadia Types With Hypospadias Objective Penile Evaluation Scorein Padang
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the conclusion the author state: "The majority of proximal or distal hypospadias occur in the age 10-14 years, and the average age of distal hypospadias was higher than proximal hypospadias". The hypospadias is indeed a congenital malformation! The patients are usually operated at the age of 1-4 years. If the author wants to present late reconstruction of hypospadias then the number of patients is too small and the duration of observation is too short. The language needs revision of someone with the English language as the native language. Support for the conclusion is missing. The paper needs through revision. More patients should be enrolled The results are presented as mean and SD: 45.15 ± 2.97 vs 38.69 ± 7.33, instead of median and range.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Einar Arnbjörnsson
Department, University & Country	Lund University, Sweden