



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_32345
Title of the Manuscript:	In utero exposure to Oxcarbazepine during gestational period in albino rat
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. English language needs revision – there are grammatical errors here and there in the text. 2. The purpose of the Discussion section of a manuscript is not only to mention facts, but also critical analysis of study results. Some Discussion sections in the manuscript do not seem well associated with the presented results and comments or explanations about differences from other studies are scarce or lacking. It will be better to include names of cited investigators. 3. Limitations of the study are lacking. Please provide. 4. It will be useful to have recommendations about future research in the manuscript conclusion.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The authors need to use the same style of references – there are minor differences.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ekaterina Viteva</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Neurology, Medical University – Plovdiv, Bulgaria</i>