



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_31914
Title of the Manuscript:	In- Vitro Evaluation of Antifungal Effects of Euphorbiaabyssinica Extract
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1- What is the origin of your fungal strains? They are ATCC strains? 2- Subsection "Description of Euphorbia abyssinica" is not Material and Methods, this part should be include in introduction. 3- You should show statistical differences in your Table 2. 4- Abstract must be divide in subsections.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1- Sections and subsections in the manuscript should be numbered. 2- References must be numbered in the order that they appear in the text and listed in order in the end. 3- Review your references, they are not in accordance to authors guideline.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Milena Kalegari
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Paraná, Brazil