SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMPS_31541**
Title of the Manuscript:	**LeanSix Sigma, Root Cause Analysis and healthcare industry: a qualitative review of literature, 2000-2016.**
Type of the Article	**Minireview**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1.How unrelated and duplication were defined in exclusion criteria ?** **2.If searches were done using key words , how unrelated and duplication articles were found?** **3. It is not clear, how and why 1120 articles were excluded?**	
Minor REVISION comments	1. DMAIC, MBB, RDMAIC, DMADV, TQM, IRS, OSHA, EPA-what do they stand for? 2. Sentence (line 381-384) is not clear. 3. References-4,8,9 do not have year of publication	
Optional/General comments	1. Title may read as Lean Six Sigma ---------- review of literature	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Kumud Kumar Kafle***
Department, University & Country	***Clinical Pharmacology, Tribhuvan University, Nepal***