



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_30593
Title of the Manuscript:	Drug Use in the National Health Insurance Scheme at a Tertiary Hospital in the South East Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article is very important to changes, but it is without discussion. The article contains all the facts, such as: ethical perspective, the time and place of research, relation to another research. The research is very good, it needs only discussion. Research contains the results, but nothing about usefulness of findings. Author must add one section "Discussion" in which must tell reader the applicability of findings of this research	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The search is very important to planning better education patients about drugs.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Milena Marinic</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University psychiatric clinic Ljubljana, Slovenia</i>