

Evaluation of the victims of Road Traffic Crashes presenting in Hospitals in the Federal Capital Territory Abuja, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT

Background: Road Traffic Crashes are indeed major contributor of disability and death globally. Accurate and reliable data on actual number of victims of road traffic crashes in various countries is required to understand the burden of road traffic crashes and to implement interventions to reduce crashes in any particular country.. In Nigeria, there are often conflicting data from various agencies and sources on the actual number of road traffic crashes and the number of affected victims. This study therefore evaluates the victims of road traffic crashes presenting to the hospitals in the Federal Capital Territory Abuja, Nigeria and compares the findings with data arising from the Federal Road Safety Commission over the same period.

Method: This was a prospective observational study of victims of road traffic crashes presenting in the hospitals in the Federal Capital Territory Abuja, Nigeria. Data of victims of road traffic collisions presenting to the hospitals in the FCT was collected from all identified hospitals, over a period of 3 months. Data was analysed and results presented as descriptive statistics.

Results: During the period under study, a total of 819 victims of RTC were recorded from the various hospitals across the FCT. The bulk of the patients were within (16 – 40) years (n=598{71.9%}) with males constituting about 75.3% of the victims. One hundred and twenty seven (15.5%) of the injuries was fatal. About two-third of the victims were taken to the public hospitals (n = 543 {66.3%}). Majority of the victims of RTC were passengers in the motorized vehicles including motorcycle (n =410 {49%}) and pedestrians constituted 17.9% (147) of the victims.

26 **Conclusion:** This pilot study confirms the high incidence of RTC in the FCT Abuja Nigeria.
 27 and the existing disparity between the data of victims of road traffic crashes emanating from
 28 the hospitals and data published by the Government agencies in Nigeria during the same
 29 period. There is the need to harmonise data from various stakeholders in an attempt to
 30 establishing a comprehensive and reliable database of victims of road traffic crashes in
 31 Nigeria.

32
 33 **Key words:** Road traffic crashes, RoadTraffic injuries, Injury demography, Hospital-based
 34 data, Federal Capital Territory Abuja, Nigeria

36 **Introduction:**

37 Road Traffic Crashes (RTC) is a major contributor of disability and death across the globe
 38 with about 1.25 million persons killed annually and another 50 million injured from RTC
 39 across the globe [1 - 3]. The burden of this injury is worse in the low and middle income
 40 countries contributing about 90% of the injuries despite that less than 10% of the worlds
 41 registered vehicles are in low income counties [2, 3]. Another worrisome aspect of the burden
 42 of RTC is the significant disproportionate contribution to the Disability Adjusted Life Years
 43 (DALYs) lost to injuries and death from RTC from the low and middle income countries
 44 which has been estimated to 34 million years of the Global DALYs[2, 4 - 7].

45
 46 The non availability of other efficient mass transport systems like rail transport, safe
 47 waterways transport and a reliable, affordable and safe air transport systems in Nigeria has
 48 led to the over reliance on the road transport. This therefore stretches the few existing road
 49 infrastructure in Nigeria and exposes the majority of the population in Nigeria to the hazards
 50 associated with road transportation including road traffic crashes [8, 9].

51 The World Health Organization (WHO) in 2007 estimated that the number of deaths from
 52 RTC in Nigeria from modelled statistics was 47,865 [10] whereas the Federal Road Safety
 53 Corps (FRSC), an agency empowered to enforce road safety legislation and to regulate traffic
 54 in Nigeria reported the number of RTC in 2007 as 8141 with 4673 fatalities from the
 55 incidents [11, 12]. The FRSC report of 2015 quotes the total recorded RTC and deaths in
 56 Nigeria as 12,077 and 3.18/100,000 respectively [13]. The reported low fatality rate from
 57 most African countries including Nigeria has been attributable to under reporting, missing
 58 data, and inappropriately reported data on the actual incidence of RTC in these countries [5,
 59 12, 14].

60

61 The observed discrepancies in the figures estimated by WHO and that reported by FRSC in
 62 Nigeria over the years are quite significant. Currently, most of the data on RTC from Nigeria
 63 are those obtained from the records of the Nigerian Police and the FRSC with occasional
 64 input of data from some hospitals. It is believed that significant data of patients with traffic
 65 related injuries treated in the hospitals may not have been captured by in the records of the
 66 police and FRSC. This implies that the current data from Nigeria by these agencies of
 67 Government may not be comprehensive, as such may not reliability. In addition, the current
 68 data from Nigeria also often does not consider the morbidity arising from injuries from these
 69 RTCs.. Morbidity from such injuries can be huge and often permanent [2 - 4] especially if the
 70 injuries are not properly treated. Injuries from RTC contribute significantly to the Disability
 71 Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) lost to injuries in most countries [4, 7].

72

73 Data of incidents involving pedestrians, those from non-motorized vehicles and motor
 74 vehicles that occurred in the rural areas and those occurring outside the major highways are
 75 most often not captured by these enforcement agencies particularly the FRSC especially if

76 they are not reported. The reason for this is partly due to the fact that the law setting up the
 77 FRSC restricts the agency's operations to the federal highways [15]. However, since some
 78 victims of RTC go to the hospitals and clinics on their own for treatment of their injuries,
 79 collecting data from the various hospitals and clinics in a designated region using a uniform
 80 standardized data collection tool by all the various stakeholders including the Police and
 81 FRSC becomes imperative. Combining such collected data from various groups would ensure
 82 that a more comprehensive, reliable and robust database of victims of road traffic collision in
 83 the region. Accurate and comprehensive data is required by countries, organizations and
 84 agencies for analyses, effective planning, distribution of resources and the implementation of
 85 appropriate interventional measures to reduce the incidence of RTC and to improve the
 86 outcome following RTC.

87

88 Before now, there has never been any attempt to produce a comprehensive database on RTC
 89 in Nigeria despite the huge burden of RTC in the country. This pilot study therefore
 90 represents the first attempt to collect data of deaths and injuries resulting from RTC from all
 91 hospitals in a defined region in Nigeria. It also hopes to test the usefulness of the data
 92 collection tool on RTC incidents with the aim of establishing a comprehensive database of
 93 victims of road traffic (RTC) in the Federal Capital Territory Abuja, Nigeria. The study
 94 serves as a prelude towards establishing a comprehensive National Database of RTC in
 95 Nigeria.

96 **Method:**

97 This pilot study was designed as a prospective observational survey of all the victims of RTC
 98 presenting to the hospitals in the FCT over a period of three months from May 26, 2012 to
 99 August 25, 2012.

100 Following obtaining appropriate approvals from the Federal Ministry of Health and the FCT
 101 Department of Health to collect the data of victims of RTC treated in all the registered
 102 hospitals and the clinics in the FCT, identification of all the registered hospitals and clinics in
 103 the FCT data collection was undertaken.

104 Data of all victims of RTC presenting in all the identified hospitals in the Federal Capital
 105 Territory Abuja was collected and entered into a pre-designed WHO model of Hospital Injury
 106 Surveillance Form-Kenya by the attending medical personnel of the hospitals during the
 107 period of the study. Trained data collectors visited the hospitals for the data collation, in cases
 108 of defaulting patients, improperly completed form and or missing data as to complete the
 109 missing information from the record of the patients.

110 The treated patients were re-assessed at 30 days after the injury to ascertain the 30-day
 111 fatality of such RTCs. Defaulters were also followed up by telephone using the number
 112 provided by the victim or accompanying person at the time of presentation when available.

113 The collated data from the various hospitals was filtered and analysed to produce the
 114 hospital-based data on the victims of RTC in the FCT for the 3 months period of the study.

115 To produce a comprehensive RTC database in the FCT over the period of the survey, the
 116 obtained hospital based data was compared with the data of RTC obtained from the records
 117 of the FRSC and the Police to ensure non-duplication and data accuracy.

118

119 Appropriate approvals were obtained from the relevant Federal and Area Council Authorities
 120 to collect data of victims of RTC treated in the hospitals within their jurisdiction. Ethical
 121 approvals were also obtained from the authorities of the various identified hospitals to allow
 122 access to the records of the victims of RTC seen in their centres.

Since this study was designed as an observational survey without direct intervention or interaction with the patients, waiver for consent was obtained from the various hospitals' authorities. However, patients' identity remained confidential throughout the study.

RESULTS

During the period under survey, a total of 819 victims of RTC were seen in the various hospitals across the FCT.

Table 1:	Age	Frequency(n)	Percentage (%)
Distribution of RTC Victims			
Age of victim (yrs)			
0 – 4		37	4.5
5 – 10		34	4.2
11 -15		36	4.4
16-20		66	8.1
21-30		325	39.7
31-40		198	24.2
41 -50		70	8.5
Above 50		31	3.8
Unknown		22	2.6
Total		819	100.0

(4.5%) of the victims were children under the age of 5 years while 107 (13.1%) of the victims were children below the age of 15 years. Five hundred and ninety eight(71.9%) of the

patients were between 16 and 40 years, while 31 patients (3.8%) were above 50 years old as shown in Table 1.

Gender distribution of victims of RTC

Six hundred and seventeen (75.3%) of the victims were males and 202 (24.7%) were female giving a male to female ratio of 3:1.

CASE FATALITY OF THE INJURIES

Six hundred and ninety two (84.5%) of the injuries were not fatal while 127 (15.5%) were fatal injuries.

Table 2: Case mix of the injuries by location of event

Location	Non-Fatal (n, %)	Fatal (n, %)	Total
Within city municipality	444 (54.2)	40 (4.9)	484 (59.1)
Outside City municipality	248 (30.3)	87 (10.6)	335 (40.9)
Total	692 (100.0)	127 (100.0)	819 (100.0)

$\chi^2 = 47.36, P < 0.05$

Four hundred and eighty four (59.1%) of the victims sustained their injuries within the city while 335 (40.9%) of the victims sustained their injuries outside the city as presented in table 2. The results also showed that among the incidents that occurred within the city, 444 (54.2%) were non-fatal whereas 40 (4.9%) of the injuries were fatal. For the injuries that

164 occurred outside the city municipality, 248 (30.3%) were non-fatal, while 87 (10.6%) of the
 165 incidents that occurred outside the city were fatal (table 2) $X^2 =$ $P =$

166

167 **Table 3: Case presentations by hospital type**

168

Type of Hospital	Non-fatal injuries (n, %)	Fatal injuries (n, %)	Total (%)
Public Hospitals	450 (55.0)	93 (11.4)	543 (66.3)
Private Hospitals	187 (22.8)	32 (3.9)	219 (26.7)
Health centres & Others	55 (6.7)	2 (0.2)	57 (7.0)
Total	692 (85.5)	127 (15.5)	819 (100)

169 $X^2 = 0.72$ $P = 0.40$

170 Majority of the victims with either fatal or non-fatal injuries were taken to the public
 171 hospitals (n = 543 {66.3%}) while 276 (33.7%) of the casualties were taken to the private
 172 hospitals or clinics as shown in Table 3.

173 **Table 4: Distribution of the Vector in the RTC**

Type of Vector	Within City	Outside City	Total
4 or More Wheeled	295 (61.0)	104 (31.0)	399 (48.7)
Tricycle	164 (33.9)	67 (20.0)	231 (28.2)
Motorcycle	12 (2.5)	151 (45.1)	163 (19.9)
Bicycle	8 (1.7)	10 (3.0)	18 (2.2)
Wheel barrow/ carts	5 (1.0)	3 (0.9)	8 (1.0)
Total	484 (100.0)	335 (100.0)	819 (100.0)

174 $X^2 = 185.21$ $P = 0.00001$

Table 4 shows that three hundred and ninety nine victims (48.7%) sustained their injuries from RTC involving four or more wheeled vehicles while tricycles caused 231 (28.2%) of the injuries. Motorcycle collisions caused 163 (19.9%), 18 (2.2%) of the incidents involved bicycles and 8 (1.0%) were caused by wheel barrows and carts.

Table 5: ROLE OF VICTIMS IN RTC

Role	Frequency (n, %)
Passenger	410 (49.0)
Pedestrian	147 (17.9)
Motorcyclist	172 (21.0)
Driver	99 (12.1)
Total	819 (100.0)

Table 5 shows the role each victim played in the incidents. There were 410 (49.0%) passengers, 147 (17.9%) pedestrians, 172 (21.0) motorcyclists, 99 (12.1%) drivers.

Table 6: Comparative Data of RTC in the FCT over same Period from FRSC

RTC Victims	FRSC Frequency (n, %)	Current study Frequency (n, %)
No. injured	280 (85.11)	692(84.50)
No. killed	49 (14.89)	127(15.5)
Total	329 (100.0)	819 (100)
$X^2 = 0.068$		$P = 0.79$

Table 6 shows the RTC data from FRSC in the FCT over the corresponding period. The injured were 280 (85.11%) and the number killed were 49 (14.89%) as compared to the corresponding number of casualties recorded from this study over the same period.

190 DISCUSSION

191 The Pilot study clearly showed that injuries resulting from road traffic collision in the Federal
 192 Capital Territory (FCT), Abuja are high, and this is being underestimated, going by records
 193 from government agencies like FRSC. With an estimated population of about 2 million
 194 persons in the FCT this gives an injury prevalence rate of 41/100,000 of the population
 195 during the three months of the survey. This is however a significant shift from the 41/1000
 196 population earlier reported by Asogwa in Nigeria [16]. The recorded 127of deaths from RTC
 197 in this study gives a case fatality rate of about 28 deaths per 100,000 from RTC. The
 198 recorded fatality and injury from this study, contribute a significant portion of the 1.25million
 199 global deaths and 50 million global injuries from RTC and annually [1, 2, 4].

200 The observed figure compares well with the estimated figure of 33/100,000published by the
 201 WHO in 2009 [2,12] and the 2015 Global status report on road safety estimates of
 202 26.6/100,000 for the road traffic mortality for Africa [2].

203 Some of the reasons identified for this high rate of traffic injuries include increase in
 204 vehicular population and traffic density in the FCT from relative improvement in the
 205 economy in the country following the era of oil boom of the eighties, and the increasing rural
 206 to urban migration which is common in many developing countries like Nigeria. In addition,
 207 the state of roads especially within the city and on the high ways around the FCT which are
 208 among the best in the country has been blamed as a factor in the aetiology of RTC [17].
 209 Earlier studies in Nigeria have indicated that the provision of good quality and standardized
 210 roads have been accompanied by increased road crashes [18, 19]. This is totally contrary to
 211 the trends observed in countries with better sophisticated road network and higher vehicular
 212 traffic volume. [20].

213 It is well established that “good quality” road on its own does not cause crashes. However,
 214 good quality of the roads encourages over speeding among the reckless drivers especially

where there is limited or non-enforcement of speed limits. It is worth noting that smooth surfaced road is not synonymous with good quality road. It is observed that there are few speed calming infrastructures such as breakers on the roads especially those within and around the FCT, inadequate and inappropriate road signage, limited number of overhead bridges, absence of speed cameras at strategic locations and inadequate enforcement of speed limits on these roads being described as good quality. These factors appear to contribute to the high number of RTC in the FCT. Since good quality roads cannot cause collisions on its own, it is rather the reckless attitude of the various road users that are the major contributors to the observed RTC [17]. As shown by this study, even the pedestrians are not exempted from this reckless behaviour on the roads as they contributed to 147 (17.9%) of the victims. Pedestrians often cross major highways without the use of pedestrian overhead bridges even when available without ensuring that the roads are free from vehicles on high speed.

This study clearly confirms that active young adults between the ages of 16 to 40 years who are the livewire of the economy constituted the majority (71.9%) of the RTC victims (table 1). The impact of this on the national economy which is already struggling to achieve set indices can only be imagined. This corroborate the reports of WHO and the World Bank [1, 2] on RTC which confirms road traffic injuries as a growing public health issue which affects the vulnerable groups of road users, including the poor. The observed pattern also confirms that more than half the people killed in traffic crashes are young adults aged between 15 and 44 years who often are the bread winners in their family [1, 2]. This may be attributed to higher risk exposure of this particular age group. Even children below the age of 15 years are not spared of the menace as they constituted about 14% of the victims thus emphasizing the need for advocacy and implementation of child safety measures on Nigerian roads.

This study showed that passengers in the vehicles and pillion riders on motorcycles who are often the non-active role players were the more at risk (49%) when compared with vehicles drivers and motorcycles riders who are the active road users and all together constituted about 51% of the injured (table 4) . This finding is consistent with the observed global pattern [1, 2]. This is not surprising as the recklessness of one commercial 18-seater bus driver can jeopardize the safety of his multiple passengers [21]. Report from the FRSC shows that the 18-20-seater passenger mini-vans used for commercial transportation is the highest contributor to injuries and deaths from RTC in Nigeria [13].

This study showed that RTC involving 4 wheeled vehicles was higher within the city municipality than outside the city (table 5) . This can be attributed to the ban on the use of motorcycles for commercial purposes within the city municipality. One particular trend observed in this study is the low rate of injuries from collisions involving motorcycles within the city municipality. In the contrary, there is a rise in the number of injuries arising from tricycles called *Keke Napep* in the local parlance which appears to have replaced motorcycles as a means of commercial transportation in certain areas of the city municipality. This observation emphasizes the need to ensure that the drivers of these tricycles are properly trained on appropriate driving skills. This is more so as the majority of the drivers of tricycles for commercial transportation were the former riders of motorcycles for commercial purposes who just acquired tricycles as the means of livelihood without obtaining any form of training in the requisite driving skills following the ban on the use of motorcycles for commercial purposes within the city municipality [21]. In this study it was observed a higher number of victims of RTC occurring within the city municipality where persons of higher socio-economic class are than that from RTC occurring in the sub-urban zones outside the city which inhabits more persons of lower socio economic class. This is at variance with reports

from other parts of the world where the rates of RTC are higher amongst persons in the lower socio economic strata [22 – 25]. Abdalla, and colleagues observed that the road crash and injury rates are almost twice as high in the most deprived areas as compared to the most affluent areas in the Lothian region of Scotland [22]. This in FCT, Nigeria would compare fairly to the sub-urban areas outside the city municipality and the areas within the city municipality respectively. Other studies in Sweden [23], Canada [24], and the United States of America [25] have found that low social status is associated with a higher rate of involvement in road traffic crashes. Reasons adduced for this pattern include the fact that low social status is associated with a higher risk exposure as such higher chance of becoming involved in road crashes than high social status. The difference in the injury incidence rates among different socio-economic status is particularly large with respect to pedestrian injury. In this study, the observed difference between the two zones of the city is also partly due to injuries resulting from tricycles (Keke NAPEP), motorcycles (okada), wheel barrows and carts which are more common outside the city. In addition, whereas the population density and risk exposure in the sub-urban areas are much higher when compared with that in the urban city municipality, the increased rural to urban migration for search of better livelihood especially in the FCT ensures higher traffic density in the city municipality especially during the working and rush hours of the day.

Another particular findings from this study is the number of injuries resulting from collisions involving non-motorised vehicles such as bicycles (n=18 {2.1%}), carts and wheel barrows (n=8 {1%}). The roles of both agents as vectors in the aetiology of RTC appear to have been neglected before now. To ensure a comprehensive data on RTC, data on injuries resulting from both agents of transportation should be captured especially as there is increasing call for the use of bicycles as means of improving healthy lifestyle [26 – 28].

The result of this pilot study showed a clear disparity between the figures reported by the Federal Road Safety Corps (FRSC) over the same period [21]. The observed fatality following road crashes in this study for a single city is a far cry from the figure of 4 deaths per 100,000 populations recorded for Nigeria in 2011 [11] and the 2 deaths per 100,000 population projected for Nigeria by the FRSC for the year 2015 [13]. . Reports from other African countries quote less than 7.6/100,000 population confirming that FRSC is not alone in this under estimation of RTC incidents. In fact the FRSC report of 2015 reports the total RTC and deaths in Nigeria as 12,077 and 3.18/100,000 respectively [15] which is a far cry from the estimated values of 26/100,000 by the World Health Report 2015 [2]. Advanced western countries such as USA, UK and Germany have an average death rate of less than 10 per 100,000, with the UK having the death rate of 5.4 deaths per 100,000 [21]. The lower figures reported from African countries have been blamed on under reporting, inappropriate data collection on traffic collisions and injuries in the region [5].

Globally, official (police-reported) road accident statistics in most countries are incomplete, inaccurate and often biased. European Transport Safety Council evaluation of pattern of traffic related injuries in 15 member states showed that the reported number of injuries among all road users who sought medical treatment for an injury, including deaths, in 1995 was 1,580,000, whilst the estimated true number was 3,500,000 [29].

In the case of FRSC in Nigeria, reasons for this report gap may be that the FRSC only recorded the incidents and victims of RTC occurring on the major federal highways whereas those RTC that occurred outside the major highways and on the sub-urban roads may be missed especially if they are not reported. In addition, since the majority of the victims of RTC are often “walking wounded”, there is the possibility that a good number of this

category of victims could have walked away from the crash sites before the arrival of the officers of FRSC.

Another worrisome aspect aside the under reporting of injuries and death from RTC by the law enforcement agencies is the misclassification of injuries. Such misclassification in official injury statistics can have profound implications in the understanding the overall incidence of injuries and their severity as well as for assessing the actual outcome, cost and consequence of road casualties. Simpson found that the police classified too few injuries as serious and too many as slight [30]. When Simpson adjusted both variables for misclassification and for incompleteness of the data, they observed that the effect of misclassification was even greater than that of underreporting. Misclassification and under reporting of the incidence and injuries from RTC is not only limited to the developing countries such as Nigeria, even the developed countries have a fair share of the problem. Morris et al in the UK showed the converse in terms of misclassification of injury severity [13]. About one third of vehicular occupants classified as serious by the police had either no or minor injury according to the Abbreviated Injury Severity scale [31]. Similar studies in Spain, showed that the number of serious victims in official road accident statistics represented only 68% of the victims admitted to hospitals, which was the term used by the police to define serious injuries [32, 33]. Even in the US, 49% of the drivers coded by police as having incapacitating injuries actually had sustained just minor injuries [34].

The problems of under reporting and misclassification of injuries from RTC underscores the need to have a comprehensive and robust approach to data collection on the incidence RTC rather than relying only on the data from law enforcement agencies such as FRSC.

Such endeavour will entail combining the RTC data from various relevant stakeholders such as the FRSC, the traffic police, the hospitals, the mortuaries and the Insurance companies. Doing this effectively will require the use of a well designed data collection tool which should capture the victim's name, telephone numbers, time and location of incidents as part of Core Data set. Preferably the same collection tool should be used by all the various identified agencies responsible for data collection on RTC in the region. By this way the chances of double counting during data combination and harmonisation from various sources will be minimized [14]. This will improve the validity of the data from various agencies in Nigeria.

One drawback of this study is that this is a pilot evaluation over a limited period. Extension of the study over a longer period will show a clearer pattern of RTC variation over seasons of the year. In addition, the issue of incomplete data was encountered during data collection, which threatens the validity and reliability of the observations. This resulted from apathy and non-compliance of the staff of the hospitals receiving the victims of RTC. A good number of the hospitals that participated in the survey did not complete the data collection tool provided for victims of RTC treated in their hospital. Despite contact by telephone and the daily visits of the data collectors to each of the identified hospitals in the FCT, only few of the hospitals called to notify the Central Data Collection Office whenever they received victims of RTC. An essential revelation of this pilot study is the need to include the telephone numbers of all victims of RTC received in the hospitals on the data collection tool for such surveys or surveillance. The provided telephone numbers allowed follow-up of the victims even after discharge from the hospitals by telephone calls to complete the questionnaires in cases of incomplete data. This also allowed the determination of 30-day follow up of the victims who had been discharged from the various hospitals as to determine the 30-day fatality. The

telephone follow up was made easier in this study as over 75% of persons in Nigeria including the FCT have access to telephones as at the time of the data collection [35].

Another important issue highlighted by the pilot study is the need to establish comprehensive surveillance system on RTC incidents and resulting burden of RTC in Nigeria, and the need to have the same standard data collection tool for the various relevant stakeholders such as the FRSC, traffic police, the hospitals and the mortuaries in the country. This will ensure that data from Nigeria on RTC is more comprehensive, more valid and more reliable.

CONCLUSION

This pilot study brought out the need to undertake a nationwide project to establish a comprehensive database of RTC and its burden in Nigeria. Prior to the commencement of such a nationwide survey, there will be the need for widespread advocacy on the project across the nation, appropriate training of the data collectors and sensitization of all the various stakeholders who should also contribute to the modification of the existing questionnaire if necessary. This will encourage ownership of such tool to be used for such program.

Various governments at the Federal, State and Local levels should be encouraged to contribute towards the funding for the establishment of such of RTC database in their annual budget. There should also be the need to involve international and national Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs), and international donor agencies for their support in such programmes. Such Collaboration should also be extended to global agencies such as the United Nation (UN), WHO and the Academia for their expertise on the establishment of effective surveillance systems of RTC in the country. Such a project if properly executed

will help in planning remediation strategies and interventions, policy promulgation and implementation to reduce the burdens of RTC in the country and certainly improve the reliability and acceptability of data on RTC published from Nigeria.

DECLARATIONS:

The authors wish to categorically state that this manuscript, including related data, figures and tables has not been previously published and the manuscript is not under consideration elsewhere.

All the information contained in this manuscript are original except otherwise clearly stated and duly acknowledged.

Ethics approvals and consent to participate: Study was approved by the Authorities and Ethical Review Committee of the Federal Ministry of Health Nigeria, the Health Administration Department of the Federal Capital Territory Abuja, Nigeria and the Management of various hospitals in the FCT Abuja Nigeria according to Helsinki Declaration 1975. Since there was no direct intervention on the patients or alteration of existing protocols of the participating hospitals, consent to participate in the study from the patients was waived.

Consent for Publication: Not applicable

Availability of data and material: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the office of the UN Decade of Action on Road Safety and Injury Prevention Nigeria but restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available. Data are however available from the authors upon reasonable request and with permission of the authority of UN Decade of Action on Road Safety and Injury Prevention Nigeria.

REFERENCES:

1. Peden M, Scurfield R, Sleet D, Mohan D, Hyder A, Jarawan E, Mathers C. Eds. World Report on Road Traffic Injury Prevention, World Health Organization, Geneva. 2004.

- 421 2. Global status report on road safety 2015. Geneva: World Health Organization;
422 2015.
- 423
- 424 3. Global status report on road safety 2013: supporting a decade of action. Geneva:
425 World Health Organization; 2013.
- 426
- 427 4. GBD 2013 Mortality and Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and
428 national age-sex specific all-cause and cause-specific mortality for 240 causes of
429 death, 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study
430 2013. *Lancet*. 2015 Jan 10; 385(9963):117–71. doi: [http://](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61682-2)
431 [dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)61682-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61682-2) PMID: 25530442
- 432
- 433
- 434 5. Status report on road safety in countries of the WHO African Region 2009.
435 Brazzaville: WHO Regional Office for Africa; 2010.
- 436
- 437 6. Murray CJ, Vos T, Lozano R, Naghavi M, Flaxman AD, Ibeanusi SE et al.
438 Disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions,
439 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study
440 2010. *Lancet*. 2012; 380:2197–2223.
- 441
- 442 7. FRSC Report 2015, Federal Road Safety Commission, Nigeria. Abuja 2015.
- 443
- 444 8. Federal Road Safety Commission (Establishment) Act 2007 No.22 A571. Abuja
445 Nigeria 2007
- 446
- 447 9. Francis Arinze Iloani Abuja: A city of good roads, several accidents. Jul 30 2014.
448 <http://www.dailytrust.com.ng>. Accessed: 20 Dec. 2015.
- 449
- 450 10. Onakomaiya, S.O. Unsafe at any speed. "Towards Road Transportation for
451 survival".(Inaugural lecture. University of Ilorin., Nigeria) (1988).
- 452
- 453 11. Atubi, A.O. and Onokala, P.C. Contemporary Analysis of Variability in Road
454 Traffic Accidents in Lagos State, Nigeria. *Journal of African Geographical*
455 *Review*; 2009; 28,: . 11-41.
- 456
- 457 12. Simpson, H. F. Comparison of hospital and police casualty data: a national study.
458 TRL report 173. Crowthorne: TRL Limited 1996.
- 459
- 460 13. Morris, A., Mackay, A., Wodzin, E., Barnes, J. Some injury scaling issues in UK
461 crash research. IRCOB Proceedings, 2003, Lisbon. pp. 283-292,
- 462
- 463 14. Perez, C., Cirera, E. Estudio de la Mortalidad a 30 días por Accidente de Tráfico
464 (EMAT-30). Plasencia, A. (coordinator). Ministerio de Sanidad y Consumo,
465 Madrid, 2004.
- 466
- 467 15. Plasencia, A. Epidemiología de las lesiones de tráfico en España. In Programa
468 sobre accidentes de tráfico: prevención y asistencia. Álvarez, F. J., Blanco, E.,
469 Guisan, C., García, E., coordinadores, ed. Madrid: EMISA-SEMERGEN, 2000,
470 pp.: 1.1-1.10.

16. Farmer, C. M. Reliability of Police-Reported Information for Determining Crash and Injury Severity. *Traffic Injury Prevention* 2003; 4: 38-44.
17. Kopits E, Cropper M. *Traffic Fatalities and Economic Growth*. Policy Research Working Paper Number3035. The World Bank, Washington, DC. 2003.
18. WHO Global Health Report. World Health Organization, Geneva. 2007.
19. FRSC RTC and Fatality Report 2007 to 2011. Federal Road Safety Commission, Nigeria. 2011.
20. Chillon P., Ortega F B,, Ruiz J R,, Evenson K R,, Labayen I, Martinez-Vizcaino V, Hurtig-Wennlof A, Veidebaum T and Sjostrom M. "Bicycling to school is associated with improvements in physical fitness over a 6-year follow-up period in Swedish children," *Preventive Medicine*, 2012;55: 108-112. .
21. Ostergaard L, Borrestad L A B, Tarp J and Andersen LB. "Bicycling to school improves the cardiometabolic risk factor profile: a randomised controlled trial," *BMJ Open*, 2012;2: Article Number: e001307,.
22. Lusk A C, Mekary R A, Feskanich D and Willett WC. "Bicycle Riding, Walking, and Weight Gain in Premenopausal Women," *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 2010; (170): 1050-1056,.
23. European Transport Safety Council (ETSC) (1997) Transport accident costs and the value of safety, Brussels.
24. Global status report on road safety: time for action. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2009.
25. Nantulya VM, Reich MR. The neglected epidemic: road traffic injuries in developing countries. *BMJ*. 2002 May;11;324(7346):1139–41. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.324.7346.1139> PMID: 12003888
26. Bekibele CO, Fawole OI, Bamgboye AE, Adekunle LV, Ajav R, Baiyeroju AM. Risk factors for road traffic accidents among drivers of public institutions in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Afr. J HealSci*. 2007; 14: 3-4.
27. Federal Road Safety Corps, Planning Advisory Unit Analysis, Abuja Nigeria, 2012.
28. Sumaila,A G F (2013).Road crashes trends and safety management in Nigeria. *Journal of Geography and Regional Planning* 2013; 6(3): 53-62.

519 29. Juillard C, Labinjo M, Kobusingye O, Hyder AA. Socioeconomic impact of road
520 traffic injuries in West Africa: exploratory data from Nigeria. *Inj Prev*. 2010;
521 16(6):389–92. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ip.2009.025825> PMID: 20805620
522
523
524 30. FRSC Report 2015, Federal Road Safety Commission, Nigeria. Abuja 2015.
525
526 31. Abdalla, I. M., Barker, D., Raeside, R. Road accident characteristics and socio-
527 economic deprivation. *Traffic Engineering and Control*.1997; 672-676.
528
529 32. Hasselberg M, Laflamme L. The social patterning of injury repetitions among
530 young car drivers in Sweden. *Accident Analysis and Prevention* 2005;37: 163-
531 168.
532
533 33. Bagley C. The urban setting of juvenile pedestrian injuries: a study of behavioural
534 ecology and social disadvantage. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 1992;24:
535 673-678.
536
537 34. Braver, E. R. Rice, Hispanic origin, and socioeconomic status in relation to motor
538 vehicle occupant death rates and risk factors among adults. *Accident Analysis and*
539 *Prevention* 2003; 35: 295-309.
540
541 35. 2014 Year End Subscriber/ Network Data Report for Telecommunications
542 Operating Companies in Nigeria, Nigeria Communication Commission Abuja
543 Nigeria 2015.
544
545
546