



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_37903
Title of the Manuscript:	Oxygen Saturation Status and Prevalence of Respiratory Symptoms among Bakery Workers using Fossil Fuel in Benin-City, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of **lack of Novelty**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer s comment	Author s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Line 3: Oxygen Saturation Status and Prevalence of Respiratory Symptoms among Female Bakery Workers using Fossil Fuel in Benin-City, Nigeria Line 11: .environments. Ninety healthy non-smoking adult female in this study, and age and sex matched with 90 healthy adult non-smoking female civil servants not exposed Line 14: results were analysed using Microsoft Excel, 2013. Line 17: workers were . Line 18: .significantly (?p value) Line 19: ..workers compared to the . Line 37: Flour is a Line 38 could affect pulmonary Line 59: .patients oxygenation status, however . Line 89: their heights taken. Line 90: measured in kilograms .. Line 92: .weight read on Line 103-104: researcher made questionnaire made up of four parts: Line 106: delete : delete moreover Line 103: Casella CEL-712 Line 105: optical device. Line 117-120: Rewrite Line 124: RESULTS- Start by presenting the results not directly in table or figures. It is after this that you can show the results in table. Eg, The anthropometric measurements of the two groups, test and control are as shown in Table 1. Same thing when comparing dust concentrations. Line 137: Figure II: In the materials and methods, there is no discussion on CO measurement! Line 160, 166: What are the values in bracket? Control N=90 (%): Test N=90 (%) Line 170: Do not start with figures. 180 male workers .?? Introduction and methodology shows females is it males or females???? Line 173: Both groups have spent at least one year in bakery or as an office worker with a work hour of 6-10 hours five days a week. Line 179 &180: What's the relationship see lines 223-224	Line 3: Corrected Line11: Corrected Line 14: Corrected Line 17: Disagree because it is the mean result of workers that was described Line 18: Corrected Line 19: Corrected Line 37: Corrected Line 38: Corrected Line 59: Corrected Line 89: Corrected Line 90: Corrected Line 92: Corrected Line 103-104: Corrected Line 106: Deleted Line 113: Corrected Line 115: Corrected Line 117-120: Rewritten Line 124: Corrected Line 137: CO measurement discussed Line 160, 166 corrected Line 170: Corrected (Females) Line 173: Corrected Line 179 & 180: Relationship with lines 223 – 224: The relationship is that in-door and out-door air pollutions are associated with changes in SpO₂
Optional/General comments		