



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_37732
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of total serum immunoglobulin E and Eosinophilic cationic protein in Primary Mono-symptomatic Nocturnal enuresis: Allergic theory?
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1- Lines 41 and 42 need reference. 2- Lines 43 and 44: delete (Mohammad and Mohsen, 2012) and reference number (1) all over the manuscript. 3- Line 52: reported by Yamada et al., 2001 (7) → reported by Yamada et al. (7). 4- Line 56 need full stop at end of sentence. 5- Lines 59: delete the full stop and put at end of sentence after the reference number. 6- Line 60: Our aim in this study was to → Our aim in this study is to. 7- Line 79: Mention country of origin. 8- Line 106: You didn't mention the used statistical test (Chi-square test) to compare between 2 frequencies. 9- Line 159: Zhang et al., (2011) and Wang et al., (2011) [6,24] → Zhang et al. [6] and Wang et al. [24]. 10- Line 168: Mungan et al., 2005, Zhang et al., 2011 and Wang et al., 2011 [22,6,24] → Mungan et al. [22], Zhang et al. [6] and Wang et al. [24]. 11- Make an acknowledgment for National Research Centre who funded this research. 12- In references section: You must begin by the author(s) family name(s) then the first letter of his/her name.	I would like to thank you and all the reviewers for the effort in revision of this manuscript and the valuable feedback and comments which have great impact upon our work. I appreciate very much their advice and valuable comments. The necessary corrections (scientific, grammar and spelling mistakes) have been done and are highlighted in yellow. The references have been revised and corrected according to(guide of author) and are highlighted in yellow. A revised version of the manuscript with the needed modification highlighted in yellow is attached.
Minor REVISION comments	1- Line 84: Pre_voiding bladder volume (V1) and post_voiding bladder → Prevoiding bladder volume (V1) and postvoiding bladder. 2- Line 93: EBC for age= (30 + (age in yrs×30) ml (Koff, 1983) [13] → EBC for age= (30 + (age in yrs×30) ml (Koff, 1983) [13]. 3- Line 179: our results → Our results. 4- Line 181: elevations n their enuretic patients. → elevations n in their enuretic patients.	
Optional/General comments	N.B Green colour → Corrected or added Yellow colour → Delete	