



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_35337
Title of the Manuscript:	Pattern of Adverse Drug Reaction to Antiepileptic Drugs at a Tertiary Hospital in North-Central Nigeria: A Prospective Observational Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Since we had many updates on epilepsy published by ILAE, I suggest the authors review definition of epilepsy, according to 2014 Operational Definition of Epilepsy, guided by the ILAE task force (Fisher et al.) Epilepsy, is from the last review, considered a disease, not a disorder. Following these recommendations are relevant to new studies on epilepsy, does not matter the place where the search was performed (developed/developing countries). From line 72 to 74, authors generalize affirming that all the mentioned drugs (newer) are used as add-on or alternative therapy. I suggest review SANAD study, Arm A:LTG is recommended as AED choice for children and elders diagnosed with partial onset seizures. Spelling correction – authors should review the written form of some words, carbamazepine, for example is written in some parts of the text as carbamezepine	We have reviewed the definition of epilepsy according to the 2014 Operational Definition of Epilepsy. We have reviewed SANAD study, Arm A and have revised this section accordingly. We have corrected the spelling errors.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The results of this study reinforce the need for care in AED prescription for children and may be useful for other populations	