



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_35079
Title of the Manuscript:	INTRAMEDULLARY INTERLOCKING NAILING FOR LONG BONE FRACTURES OF THE LOWER LIMB: OUR EXPERIENCE WITH FREE-HAND LOCKING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is a nice study on the usage of jigs for distal locking in interlocking nailing. There are several grammatical errors throughout the manuscript, which need correction. "However, there is room for open technique with direct reduction of fragment. It is shown to have a lesser infection rate, high rate of union". Lesser infection rate with open nailing seems unusual. Please check references ☐	Thank you for the review and observations. Grammatical errors were looked into and corrected. The sentence was referring to interlocking nail as compared with plate osteosynthesis. That has been reframed better now.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	