



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_34876
Title of the Manuscript:	End-of-Life Care in Nigeria: Preparing Nursing Students and Workforce to take the Lead
Type of the Article	Literature Review?????????

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. Authors need to review and adjust the wording regarding the use of the Vancouver standard. For example: In line 184 the authors describe: "[27] Supports this assertion and noted that as palliative ..." Writing in Vancouver should always be indirect. I recommend: As palliative care becomes more normative and contained in the curricula of health schools, included in textbooks and licensing exams, and integrated into clinical practice guidelines, improvements will be made in nurses' skills in end-of-life care^[27]</p> <p>2. I missed the article structure. Objective, Method, Conclusion.</p> <p>3. The rules of Vancouver guide to not name the authors during the writing of the text. Many articles cite the authors at the end of the sentences: De Vlieger et al., 2004; Cummings, 2008; Wallace et al., 2009; Paice</p>	<p>We sincerely appreciate your comments.</p> <p>Response to Comment 1 We agree to the comment of the reviewer.</p> <p>For line 184 the suggestion of the reviewer has been effected and other statements in the paper which did not follow the Vancouver standard has been corrected accordingly and highlighted in yellow. In the revised paper wording adjusted are in lines; 125, 198, 201, 205, 206, 207, 220, and 258</p> <p>Response to comment 2 We have structured the article and presented it under the subheadings: introduction, methodology, discussion and conclusion. In the revised paper it is in lines: 24, 56, 66 and 247</p> <p>Response to Comment 3 We agree to the comment. The authors whose name were cited at the end of the sentences during writing of the test have been replaced with their respective figure. In</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>et al., 2006; etc.</p> <p>4. Moreover, these articles are not cited in the references.</p> <p>5. Once included, the order of numbering of quotes should be adequate.</p>	<p>the revised version they are found in lines: 39, 205, 206, 207</p> <p>Response to comment 4 The names of the articles that were cited in the text but omitted in the reference has been updated in the reference list and are painted in yellow. In the revised paper they are in lines: 283, 355, 358, 362, 366</p> <p>Response to comment 5 The order of numbering of quotes as it appears in the text is reflected/updated in the reference list and adequate</p>
--	--	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	Review reference citation 13: 2009, 8 (5): 21-26 Observe the standardization of citations in Vancouver format. Describe methodological process of selection of relevant studies.	The reference citation has been reviewed and updated. See line 317 in the revised paper We have described the methodological process of selection of relevant studies and is painted in yellow in line 56
Optional/General comments	The study theme is relevant. The research is justified by the need for the development of palliative nursing I believe that with the adjustments the manuscript will meet the criteria for publication. There are ethical issues related to the manuscript!	Thank you very much for your comments and we hope that the adjustments we have made to the article will help further its consideration and acceptance for publication.