



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_34876
Title of the Manuscript:	End-of-Life Care in Nigeria: Preparing Nursing Students and Workforce to take the Lead
Type of the Article	<u>Review papers</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: Despite the article being a <u>Review papers</u>: should define the aim and include it in the abstract Authors must define about 4-8 keywords</p> <p>Authors must redefine the paper structure : Suggestion- introduction, background and discussion/conclusion Authors Should include discussion. See (http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/general-guideline-for-authors#Type_of_papers)</p> <p>Review the references in the text. " Nurses, more than any other health professionals, are the frontline caregivers for patients with life limiting diseases or conditions (Cummings, 2008). However, obvious gaps are evident in undergraduate (Wallace et al., 2009), graduate (Paice et al., 2006), and continuing education (Murray, Wilson, Kryworuchko, Stacey, and O'Connor, 2009) programs "</p>	<p>Thank you for your valuable comments. Response to Comments We agree to define the aim of the paper and include it in the abstract. See line 11 in revised paper.</p> <p>Comment on redefining the paper structure is welcomed and appreciated. Revised paper has been structured thus: introduction, methodology, discussion and conclusion. See lines: 24, 56, 66 and 247 in revised paper.</p> <p>References in the text have been reviewed and replaced with their respective number. See lines 39, 205, 206, and 207 in the revised paper.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		