



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_34507
Title of the Manuscript:	Leveraging on Prompt Proactive and Preventive Integrated Strategies for Meningitis Control in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Minireview papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This study involved aspects of microbiology, infectious diseases, ecology and public health. The manuscript is well written, interesting and important for microbiologists, researchers and public health researchers, although there are some suggestions to be done. 1. Any of the keywords used do not follow any index descriptors in public health, as BIREME, MeSH, NLM or UMLS (eg. Integrated approach) and it should be changed. 2. I suggest change the "results" and "discussion" topics to include the results of the research in the "results" topic and not in "discussion" topic. 3. "In conclusion" topic it should be addressed the most important landmarks of the manuscript.	1. The keywords have been restructured 2. This suggestion has been considered 3. The key landmarks have now been clearly highlighted in the conclusion
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The topic "Materials and Methods" should get more items (eg. "study design")	The study design has been included at the methods section. However, we do not intend to put them in separate sections.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This article is well written, excellent	Thank you!