



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_34369
Title of the Manuscript:	RAT SERUM ELECTROLYTE AND LIPID PROFILE FOLLOWING ADMINISTRATION OF AQUEOUS EXTRACT OF SPHENOSTYLIS STENOCARPA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1.The data of sodium, potassium, cholesterol, triglycerides at days 0(i.e.before the plant solution administering) should be provided. 2.The statistical significance between the treated groups(groups2-4) and group1(control) at the same day should be tested by means of the analysis of variance. 3.Hyperlipidemia animal models instead of normal animals should be adopted in order to study the change of cholesterol and triglyceride.	1. The data is already presented. 2. The statistical significance is already provided. 3. Hyperlipidemia studies is not the focus of the study, as the plant drink is to be taken by normally fed human beings. So Wistar rats on normal diets were used.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		