



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_34311
Title of the Manuscript:	SOME BIOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF Sarcocephalus latifolius LEAF EXTRACT IN ALLOXAN INDUCED DIABETIC RATS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- Line 1. Remove some and provide the authority of your plant. - The Abstract is not properly written. - Paragraph of 46 – 57 has no reference at all. What were the sources of the information written? - Line 60 – 62 provided batch/voucher number of your specimen. - Provide duration of drying the sample. - The period of extracting/soaking the plant sample is not enough. A minimum of 72hrs is required for complete exhaustion. - No references to the methods used for the research. This may invalidate the results. - There are only five (5) reference in the text but a total of 26 were reported. In fact some of the information in the article have no references at all. This is so serious. ETHICAL ISSUE: YES, USE OF RATS BUT COVERED BY AN APPROVAL FROM ETHICS COMMITTEE.	All the corrections pointed out by the reviewer have been effected on the manuscript. Animal ethical Approval has been declared and addressed.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		