



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_33924
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Quality Control Tests for Ophthalmic Pharmaceuticals: Pharmacopoeial Standards and Specifications</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Representation of data can be improved by tabular form showing which QC test are available in which pharmacopoeia, since few test are found in IP, few BP, and few in USP. If author had taken little care in arranging this in a tabular form the paper could have more weightage otherwise no problem.</b>	<b>Thanks a lot for your great suggestions. But I am sorry to inform you in this article not only pharmacopoeias are used to prepare the script, some information are added which are not mentioned in pharmacopoeias but vital for QC. In addition, some in-house tests are also added. At this moment if we give table, its not possible to cover the all tests given in the script and it will create confusion for reader. Furthermore, some new information is also added to enrich the scientific value of the manuscript.</b>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In reference: Wikipedia has been shown as reference please avoid this as it a search engine, never the authors should quote as a reference	I am not sure which references you want to mean. If you want to mean reference 18, 19, 20. No need to worried they are web link given as per journal format.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		