



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_33901
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of body positions on lungs volume in asthmatic and non-asthmatic individuals: a cross-sectional study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment The topic is appropriate	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There is arrangement problem. There little problem with tenses. Aim not aims. Always the aim is one Purpose not purposes Recast the statement, it is too long. Make it two sentence but bearing in mind that aim is one where as objectives could be many 'The purpose of our research is to investigate the effect of different positions on lung volume by conducting pulmonary function test (PFT) values such as forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) of asthmatic patients, to assess the correlation between pulmonary function and posture in adult patients with asthma and to determine the best position with higher lung volume that preferable for asthmatic patients to relieve the asthma attack and for rehabilitation approach'. Recast the result discussion in the abstract section in the Line 18 consider were, the past tense of are not 'are' Line 23 Hojat b check if b is part of the spelling of the name, if not remove Line 24 consider affect not effect Recast line26 and 27 Lines 28 through 31 are part of methodology, it is not in proper place Rephrase line 32 Move line 32 to 38 to the discussion Line 40 to 44 to methodology In line 130 remove 'and' In line 132 and 133, the statement 'We have to stress that the order of testing in each position was not randomized and was carried in order, where the standing position was done first, followed by sitting and supine'. may not be necessary. Line 141 conducted not conduct Recast line 142 and 143 Recast line 153 through 155 Recast 164 and 165	We have corrected in Abstract section. We have modified the result discussion in abstract section. We have corrected at line 17. We have corrected at line 22. We have corrected at line 23. We have modified line 24 to 26. We have moved line 28 to 31 to method section. We have modified line 32. We have moved line 32 to 38 to discussion section.



SDI Review Form 1.6

		We have removed 'and' at line 123. We have removed line 132 and 133. We have removed Line 141 to 143 as we thought it is confusing and unnecessary. We have corrected line 146 to 149. We have corrected line 163 and 164.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		