

Editor's Comments:

The author has to concern in writing format of the MS. The authors would be rewrote the MS in Scientific writing format. Some parts in MS (Abstract, Results) must be rewritten. For examples, the data in the results are not clear, especially antioxidant activity. Please check in the part of reference and write in Vancouver style.

I suggest, the authors would read so much and borrow the style of writing format from another related research reports.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Author's Feedback:

WU 1-4: Corrections effected.

WU 5: Corrections effected.

WU 6: Five colonies were used. Corrections effected.

WU 7: Only methanolic extract of *Dacryodes edulis* was used for this experiment and not methanolic and waterextracts. I have corrected the mix up initially where I made a mistake of reporting the solvent for reconstitution (methanol:sterile distilled water) in the place of solvent for the extraction which was methanol.

WU 8: Corrections effected.

WU 9: Corrections effected.

WU 10: It was methanolic extract not ethanolic extract. Correction of this has been done within the body of the write up.

WU 11: Chart title has been added to Figure 1b.

WU 12: The control was as follows: everything in the test experiment set up except the inclusion of the methanolic leaf extract of *Dacryodes edulis*. The extract was reconstituted with methanol and sterile distilled water at 1:1 (v/v).

WU 13: Corrections effected.