



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_33831
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF DACRYODES EDULIS METHANOLIC LEAF EXTRACT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The work is very well structured, with very interesting results in a medicinal plant native to much of Africa, scientifically strengthening the pharmaceutical medical properties of the same. The few observations (shaded and with comments) are indicated in the file of the publication that is sent. Also some recent articles are sent that will shield the presented results.	In respect of the shaded parts especially the Tables and Figures cited within the body (Discussion) of the journal, I decided not to delete them because they are in compliance with the rules as provided in the Author's Guide . Also, the other reviewer did not say I should delete those cited Tables and Figures and so I am at a cross road. Please note: I am not adamant on not deleting those cited Tables and Figures in the Discussion aspect of the article.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		