



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_33705
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF NITRATE REDUCTASE ASSAY FOR DETECTION OF MULTI-DRUG RESISTANT MYCOBACTERIUM TUBERCULOSIS AMONG PATIENTS AT NATIONAL TUBERCULOSIS REFERENCE LABORATORY ZARIA NGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1 – The principal title is not well written the word Nigeria is written Ngeria, line 5. 2 – What was the criteria to determine the sample size? 3 - Which kind of sampling were used to select the patients? 4 – The results are very good and are well represented. But the discussion of results is very weak, the authors don't compare much with another studies who used the same methodology? 5 – What the authors did to reduce the false positive?	Correction was done effectively Sample size was determined using the prevalence rate of MDR-TB in Nigeria and Kish Leisle formula Random sampling All corrections were done effectively We confirmed all the isolates using immunochromatographic test kit (SD Bioline) before subjecting them to DST
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		