



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_32800
Title of the Manuscript:	Using Simulation in Cupping Therapy Training Course for Undergraduate Medical Students: A Pilot Study in Riyadh, KSA.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Abstract: The author should comply with the journal guidelines. The template can be downloaded from the journal website. 2. Methods: Reconcile between your TARGET POPULATION (16 males and 25 females participated) and your PARTICIPANTS (the number of participants was 25 out of 40 4th year medical students, 10 were males and 15 were females) 3. Is there questionnaire in this work? If yes kindly show it. 4. Ethical approval necessary. Author should state the processes that led to ethical approval. 5. Results: I think your percentages should SUM UP to 100%, if not your percentages may be complicated to other viewers, kindly elaborate on this. 6. Your Tables should be together with your results with a well summarize explanation not in the discussion. 7. Pack the details of your explanations of the	1- I edited the abstract to comply with guideline 2- Reconciled, The target population is students who attended the simulation course. 3- Yes, and items were shown in table[1]. A sentence was added to show that. 4- Ethical approval was added. 5- The SUM UP to 100% 6- Tables are transferred to results section, 7- Results and discussion became one section 8- Removed 9- conflict of interest section was added



SDI Review Form 1.6

	results to your discussion, else you join the results and discussion together. 8. The parenthesis in Table one and two should be removed i.e Table [1] and Table [2]. 9. There should be a statement on conflict of interest	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please clarify the ethical issue. There should be a statement on conflict of interest or competing interest.	All done, Thank you for your comments.