



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_32668
Title of the Manuscript:	Erosive cervico-occipital arthritis revealing Spondyloarthritis
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	It is better if the author also show the CRP and ESR results and also the other markers to make sure the diagnosis The treatment given for this patient should be clearly written in the text. Exercise may be important for this patient and the doctor should think properly regarding the use of biologic agents	Biological markers of inflammation are mentioned in the text Exercise was prescribed to the patient. For the treatment we are following EULAR 2016 recommendation for axial SpA and biological are planned
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is a good case Maybe this is a variance of ankylosing spondylitis	