



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_31541
Title of the Manuscript:	LeanSix Sigma, Root Cause Analysis and healthcare industry: a qualitative review of literature, 2000-2016.
Type of the Article	Minireview

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1.How unrelated and duplication were defined in exclusion criteria ? 2.If searches were done using key words , how unrelated and duplication articles were found? 3. It is not clear, how and why 1120 articles were excluded?	1. Addressed 2. Addressed 3. Addressed
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. DMAIC, MBB, RDMAIC, DMADV, TQM, IRS, OSHA, EPA-what do they stand for? 2. Sentence (line 381-384) is not clear. 3. References-4,8,9 do not have year of publication	1. Done 2. Done 3. Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. Title may read as Lean Six Sigma ----- review of literature	1.Changed to "Lean Six Sigma, Root Cause Analysis to enhance patient safety in healthcare organizations: a narrative review, 2000-2016. (As suggested by other reviewer as well). All modifications highlighted in yellow .