



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_31444
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of aqueous leaf extract of Annona Muricata on pregnancy and pregnancy outcome
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Methodology: state how you sacrificed the animals for the experimentation and the ethical consideration involved. Ethical issue-Yes, methodology of sacrificing the pregnant animal and management of its foetus should be stated by the author.	Handling of animals were done in accordance with the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals" prepared by the National Academy of Sciences and published by the National Institutes of Health. This work was also approved by the ethical committee of our university. Rats were sacrificed by inhalation of chloroform followed by exsanguination. Viable foetuses were also sacrificed by inhalation of chloroform followed by exsanguination.
Minor REVISION comments	Line 12: improve - State how you induced the pregnancy and its indicator. Line 15: correct and improve ...orally and daily throughout the gestational period of the wistar rats. ...measured daily; before extract administration, during extract administration and prior to sacrifice. Line 16: - Did you consider any ethical consideration before sacrificing at that point of gestation? If yes, state it. - Was there any living foetus when you sacrificed the animals? If yes, how did you manage it? Line 22: - Correct the statistical spellings. Also, effect the improvement within the body of the paper.	Line 12: rephrased in line with the suggested correction. Line 15: ii and iii: corrected and improved accordingly. Line 16: iv and v: ethical considerations were followed and have been stated. Line 22: vi: statistical spellings have been corrected. Further improvements within the body have been made.
Optional/General comments	Line 32-60: You can improve your introductory part by adding recent reference on anti-fungal effect of annona muricata by Ojuolape et. al.	Done accordingly.