



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_31444
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of aqueous leaf extract of Annona Muricata on pregnancy and pregnancy outcome
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	First of all , the results gained are not realistic and cant be accepted due to that they are based on a very small sample size. In my opinion , the research should be repeated using larger sample size in order to say for sure if this result is true and individual differences can be nailed out.	The sample size is very adequate for the number of groups. See: Charan J, and Kantharia N.D. (2013): How to calculate sample size in animal studies. J Pharmacol Pharmacother 2013; 4(4): 303–306.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		