



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_31444
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of aqueous leaf extract of <i>Annona muricata</i> on pregnancy and pregnancy outcome
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 4: Always italicized botanical names. Line 7: This statement is highly contestable. I am a Nigerian, and I can contest the fact that soursop is widely consumed by the pregnant women. Line 12: Make the "w" UPPER CASE: W. Lines 13-14: Please re-frame this statement by excluding the control group as part of groups receiving different concentration of the extract. Line 46: Re-write this name correctly. Line 52: This should appear in lower case 'm'. Line 58: Your work was on the leaves, but I do not think pregnant women in Nigeria widely take the leaves of this plant like you claim. Even the fruit part which is what is usually consumed is not also widely taken by pregnant women. Line 63: Separate the names. Line 65: Are you sure of this voucher number? Lines 71-89, 113, 126, 146, 178, 181-188: Hit the "space bar" here. Line 89: Check this statement, and re-frame to bring out meaning, for it lacks meaning. Lines 149-150: Please check for what happened between these two lines. Lines 204-206 and 217-219: You have initially entered this reference above. see highlighted reference no 6, so delete either of the two and keep one. Line 212, 220, 243-244: You have to be consistence with the font form you want to use. This font form is different from others. Lines 234-239: You have different font size and font form combined here.	Corrections done. Many sentences re-casted as advised and spacing taken care of
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Author(s) did not follow/use the SDI format/template in writing the manuscript.	Corrections affected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Generally the topic and the write-up are good, but the manuscript can be made better for acceptance for publication if the author(s) can adequately respond to the above comments.	Efforts have been made to correct abnormalities