



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_31295
Title of the Manuscript:	Biochemical effects of Telfairia occidentalis leaf extracts against copper-induced oxidative stress and histopathological abnormalities
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In introduction section author has given some references too old so replace it. In discussion ---- give more references regarding antioxidant activity .	The old references have been removed leaving out the most recent. The antioxidant properties of the samples were discussed from figure 1-4. Over fifteen references were cited between Fig. 1-4. Moreover, all statements of fact had their corresponding references. So, can the reviewer become clearer with this request? We are not sure what this comment by the reviewer implies.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		