



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_30593
Title of the Manuscript:	Drug Use in the National Health Insurance Scheme at a Tertiary Hospital in the South East Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Overall good manuscript however following revisions are mandatory Abstract- section 1. Please mention that prescriptions were evaluated using WHO core drug use indicators 2. There are typographical errors or mistakes in abstract-results (quoted percentage are wrong . kindly refer to table 3) Wrong percentage quoted – “Dispensed drugs that were properly labelled were significantly higher in NHIS than in GOPD (62.0% vs 37.5%). Patient correct drug dosage knowledge was also significantly higher in NHIS than in GOPD (20.4 % vs 23.3%).” Introduction - section 3. Rationale of the study sound okay but please add statement and cite articles of previously conducted studies in Nigeria raising concern of drug misuse or irrational use in the region of other parts of Nigeria Materials and Methods-- section 4 .Please describe the WHO core indicators in detail and write how were the indicators analysed in methodology section especially research indicators./instruments (as the entire manuscript concept is based on analysis as per WHO indicators) though the outcome variable are mentioned. kindly elaborate	Abstract Corrected Introduction Corrected Materials and Methods Corrected



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Further refer to following official link http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/en/d/Js2289e/3.1.html 5. Sample size is justifiable as per the manual and adequate 6. The significance of P value is wrongly written – (correct is $P \leq 0.05$ is considered statistical significant_ “A value for $P = .05$ was 112 considered statistically significant.” Results- section 7. In table 3 kindly mention the actual p value obtained instead of * and also write by which test in the foot notes Discussion - section 8 At the end, mention methods to improve or rectify the lacuna observed in this study for better and rational use of drugs in community	Results Corrected Discussion Corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Limitation - section 8. Additional limitation - it was a quantitative analysis and so qualitative appropriateness of prescriptions was not done	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overall good manuscript Address the issues of prescribing and patient care in Nigeria healthcare	