



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_30491
Title of the Manuscript:	Occurrence of bacteriuria amongst inmates of Federal Neuropsychiatric Hospital Calabar, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Citations in the text should be replaced with numbers. 2. In the result section, rewrite the statistically significant values correctly 3. The information on table 4 contradicts the data presented in the table 4. The media used included Sabouraud agar, serum and corn meal agar for identification of <i>Candida albicans</i>..... Is <i>Candida albicans</i> a bacteria judging from your manuscript title?	1.) Citations have now been replaced with numbers. 2.) There was nothing like that seen. 3.) I have not seen any contradiction. 4.) This has been expunged.
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract 1. In the abstract section, recast the aims of the study. 2. Ethical approval, consent from the patients, questionnaire administration, collection and processing of clean catch mid-stream urine specimens is not a study design. 3. Place and duration of study: This study was carried out in Federal Neuropsychiatric Hospital Calabar, Nigeria between August, 2010 and September, 2011. 4. The heading sample should come under methodology and the information should be recast. 5. The commonest (most common) urinary pathogens isolated amongst both the test ...(what do you mean by test???) 6. and the need to put in place strategies to control this infection among this category of patients..... this sentence is incomplete	1.) Aims of the study has been recasted. 2.) This has been reported properly 3.) This has also been corrected. 4.) This is under methodology 5.) This has been corrected. 6.) This now complete. 7.) It has been corrected to capital. 8.) This has been recasted.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	7. Key Words: inmates should be Inmates 8. moreover, in non-acute hospital....recast 9. The last three lines of the introduction should be corrected for spelling errors. 10. The aim has been stated in the abstract. 11. Kindly replace these words "test subjects" 12. The total values in tables 1-4 needs to corrected. 13. Spelling errors in fig.1 should be edited. 14. References do not confirm with the journal's style of referencing.	9.) Spelling errors has been corrected. 10.) "Abstract" is different from "introduction" 11.) "Test subject" now reads "test group" 12.) No correction is required here 13.) This has been done. 14.) Referencing is now corrected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. In the discussion section, give possible reasons for resistance to some antibiotics. 2. In the conclusion, We therefore recommend.....'in our environment' should be replaced.	1.) This has been given 2.) This has been replaced

As per the guideline of editorial office we follow VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.
Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>