

Editor's Comment:

I reviewed the original manuscript, the reviewers comments and the revised manuscript. Although the manuscript has some interesting information about a botanical extract, the manuscript has major and serious flaws and cannot be accepted. The authors are encouraged to address the following critiques:

1. The manuscript was very poorly written. There were many grammar, syntax and ideas flow problems. The authors provided much speculations concerning the limited work that they had performed. I have edited the text as per enclosed re-write. I recommend that the authors also ask a native English speaking scientist to help them correct their manuscript prior to re-submitting it for consideration for publication. The authors need to do grammar and spell check prior to the re-submission of their manuscript.
2. The authors cited the name of authors and a reference number in the text. In their citations, I recommend that the authors only use the name of the authors, arranged alphabetically and remove the reference numbers not only from the text but also from the References section.
3. The authors split their tables between two pages. Each table must be on a separate page and must stand out alone without going back and forth to the text.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Esam Z. Dajani
President, IDDC Corporation, USA
Adjunct Professor of Medicine, Loyola University Chicago, USA