



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_30242
Title of the Manuscript:	A Case of Hydronephrosis in Placenta Percreta
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It needs to be revised by a naive English speaker. Line 50 : ecosprin " Generic name is to be used rather than brand name" Line 69 "fought for his best with artificial ventilation"; Line 71 "he baby expired after 4 days of delivery." The language is rather informal considering as an academical manuscript. It needs to be revised in this sense. The case presentation includes many unnecessary explanations. It needs to be shortened. The title and the manuscript is irrelevant. The case is not a case of hydronephrosis due to Placenta Percreta, it seems rather to be iatrogenic after first operation.</p> <p>Ethical issues: Yes. There is no statement in the manuscript declaring an obtained informed consent from the patient.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Bahcesehir University, Turkey