



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_30082
Title of the Manuscript:	Hepatitis B Vaccination coverage and knowledge among Healthcare Workers at a tertiary Hospital in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>This study is important and is of reference value for the prevention of horizontal transmission of HBV in developing countries.</p> <p>In the survey table, I think it should be better add one item, ie safety of serum titer of HBsAb.</p> <p>In different countries and regions, due to the difference of receiving educational program and training, there are of course difference of perception of HBV vaccine between various populations. It is central to give solutions based on research results.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Which profession is with the worst sense of HBV vaccine should be stated. With this, this group of people can be regarded as important target population to receive professional education and training of HBV vaccine.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Li Wang
Department, University & Country	Department of Gastroenterology, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, China