



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                                   |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <b><u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u></b>                                          |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JAMPS_29997</b>                                                                                             |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Assessment of Antioxidant, Phytochemical and Proximate Properties of Ethanolic Extracts of Morinda lucida.</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>                                                                                  |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Abstract lacks methodology</li> <li>- Wrong referencing in the body of the paper. Author(s) should visit SCIENCEDOMAIN website.</li> <li>-The plant lacks voucher number.</li> <li>- Methodology is too detailed. References could be used .e.g. The filtrate was used for phytochemical screening. The methods of.....were employed.</li> <li>-Line116 ... Which is the agent of extraction? Ethanol or methanol?</li> <li>-No statistical analysis.</li> <li>- The authors should be consistent with their decimals.</li> <li>- The Y-axes of the charts were not properly represented. No titles.</li> <li>- Tables are replicated with charts. Authors should use either charts or tables. Some of the tables were hanging- no titles.</li> </ul> |                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-There are major grammatical errors. Author(s) should see English major.</li> <li>- The description of a Table should come before the Table.</li> <li>-Consent and ethical approval should be deleted.</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                      |

As per the guideline of editorial office we have follow VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                                                                       |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>O. R. Ezeigbo</b>                                                                  |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Department of Biology/Microbiology, Abia State Polytechnic University, Nigeria</b> |