



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_29997
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Antioxidant, Phytochemical and Proximate Properties of Ethanolic Extracts of Morinda lucida.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. In key words use shorter words, not "quantitative methods of analysis", or "in-vitro antioxidant analysis". 2. References should be numbered in order that they appear in the text, it is not author data. 3. Your introduction is done in just one paragraph, that can be lighter if you separate that. 4. Is unclear in quantitative flavonoids and alkaloids methodology that if is the constant weight that is the amount of flavonoids and alkaloids. 5. Did you use some statistics to compare results? You should do statistical tests and show in your results, after that you will be able to compare results and affirm which extract has the best antioxidant result in each method. 6. Chose in your results the best way to show antioxidant results, table or graphics, not both. If you chose graphics arrange that, remove the table below and include values in the graphic, remove de legend "series1", remove the gridlines behind. 7. What are the antioxidants values unit, percentage? Show that in table or graphic. 8. You should supplement the discussion, you have so many results and they are poor discussed. You can discuss the different antioxidants test that you have done, the differences between them, the nutritional composition importance, and that if it has relation with antioxidant activity, what part of the plant is the best one to be use, and many other things.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	1. You have some punctuations problems, please review the text. 2. Your values has many decimal places, you should round that. 3. References should appear in order that they appear in the text, and review reference style in authors guideline, there are some ones that are not in accordance.	
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have follow VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Milena K Alegari
Department, University & Country	Department of Pharmacy, Federal University of Parana, Brazil