SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMPS_29419**
Title of the Manuscript:	**HEPATOPROTECTIVE AND ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY OF AMORPHOPHALLUS CAMPANULATUS TUBERS EXTRACT AGAINST PARACETAMOL -INDUCED LIVER DAMAGE IN RATS**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: - It does not reflect the data correctly. - No need to write statistical analysis, it is enough in M&M section. - I t should be conceive and comprehensive. M&M: - The dose is 2 or 2.5 g/kg - Where is the data of the constituents of methanolic and aqueous extracts for comparing. - It is methanolic or ethanolic extraction - You do not mention in materials CAT, SOD, GSH. - Where is LPO test to reflect the liver damage. Result: - All the tables should have the same groups. - The histopathological sections are not clear. Discussion: - Need improvement.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Tarek Heikal***
Department, University & Country	***National Research Centre, Egypt***