



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_29155
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINATION OF PATTERN OF MULTIPLE ANTIBACTERIAL RESISTANCE IN CLINICAL BACTERIAL ISOLATES OF WOUND INFECTIONS
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I do not understand why authors do not include their answer to my question as bellow in their revised manuscript. 1. Do authors want what is claimed from the results by using the curing study? Do authors discover something there were a features and trends? Detailed analysis of the results is needed. 2. By using the MAR index, what authors want to argue? Do authors find something there were a features and trends? Authors should show the analysis of result precisely. Ethical issues: Yes. Since the samples were taken from the people, there is a need for ethics committee for permission to human subjects. The authors align the digits after the decimal point of the numeric value. Line 137-138, line 176-178: Those sentences represent the mere result in Discussion section. Authors should represent considerations in Discussion section.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Nagoya City University, Japan