



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_28530
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical evaluation, Anti-oxidant and Antimicrobial studies of extract of Corn (Zea may) silk
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the manuscript entitled: “Chemical evaluation, antioxidant and antimicrobial studies of extract of corn (Zea may) silk”, the authors characterized the phytochemical components of plant extracts and their antioxidant properties and antimicrobial potential. The authors presented the manuscript in a good way and in my personal opinion I have some suggestions to the authors that are noted as follows and insert inside reviewed manuscript attached to this format. Is very important that the authors review all the manuscript and correct it, because it has a lot of words that are incorrect, please check it. The authors must check the Journal's guidelines for authors, regarding to the manuscript presentation with not numerated subheadings, please check it.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Abstract: I suggest the authors a little change in the tittle of the manuscript: "Chemical evaluation, antioxidant and antimicrobial studies of extract of corn silk (<i>Zea mays</i>) extracts", please, check it. Introduction: I suggest the authors eliminate Figure 1, please, check it. Materials and Methods: Is very important that the authors review this section, because it is not clear which was the origin of the plant extracts; they mention in the abstract that the extracts were obtained from crude stem bark and leaves of corn, but it is not mentioned in this section, please be clear about the origin of the plant samples. Results and Discussion: The authors must review this section, the description of the results obtained by the authors is long and must review some paragraphs regarding to the way that the authors writing the manuscript, please check it. References: Is very important that the authors review the guidelines of the journal regarding to the references' presentation, please, check them, and make the corrections not only to this	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	section, where the references are not uniform and are presented in disorder, regarding to the alphabetic order. The authors must check the presentation of the references noted in the text of manuscript, and check that all the references are noted in the references' list, please check it.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Angélica Rodríguez Dorantes
Department, University & Country	Departamento de Botánica, Escuela Nacional de Ciencias Biológicas, Instituto Politécnico Nacional. México City, Mexico